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Executive Summary

This report addresses the framework within which issues of tolerance arise in contemporary Irish society, namely the development of national identity and the principal cultural and religious groups, both indigenous and immigrant. It reviews academic literature and public debates on the evolution of national identity, the emergence of increasing diversity, and the issues of tolerance and cultural diversity discourses that have emerged in the Republic of Ireland in recent years.

Historically, national identity in Ireland was significantly formed in contrast to England, and in terms of domination. Ireland was long perceived as a homogeneous country, characterised by a Catholic and Gaelic identity, and for much of the twentieth century this was expressed in constitutional and legislative provisions in the newly independent state. In recent years there has been greater acknowledgment of the presence of other traditions on the island.

The principal indigenous minorities for whom toleration has been an issue are, on the one hand, religious minorities: Protestants and Jews, and on the other hand, a socio-cultural minority: (Irish) Travellers. Tolerations was traditionally not necessarily understood as a central value in the Irish context. In the mid-twentieth century the dominant national and religious settlement provided some institutional toleration of religious minorities; other institutional toleration, attitudes and practices of tolerance were until recently more limited; for Travellers there continue to be significant issues, including their recognition as an ethnic group.

A context and driver for the recent development of toleration and of the discourse of toleration in the Republic, and one whose importance it is hardly possible to overestimate, has been the evolution of the peace process between Protestants and Catholics on the island in general, and in Northern Ireland in particular, as well as between Northern Ireland and the Republic, and the Republic and the United Kingdom. A second context and driver has been the area of sexual morality, from the increasing acceptance of unmarried mothers, to the admission of divorce and the tolerance of lesbian and gay sexuality, up to the recognition of civil partnerships in 2011. Both of these spheres otherwise fall outside the remit of this project report, which is to deal specifically with religious and cultural diversity in the Republic of Ireland.

Immigration has led to increasing racial, religious and cultural diversity. In the context of our study, the importance of these developments was the late and rapid rate of immigration and the arrival of a multicultural population at a time when Ireland came to be classified as one of the most globalised countries in the world. As large-scale immigration into Ireland began later than many west European countries - taking place only in the last twenty years - immigrant cultural minorities represent a new phenomenon. In 1996 Ireland reached its migration ‘turning point’; a decade later, in 2006, non-Irish nationals represented approximately 10% of the population, of which the largest groups were from the UK, followed by Poland, Lithuania, Nigeria, Latvia, USA and China. The most significant development in religious diversity is represented by the growth of Muslim and Orthodox communities. While these changes have already posed certain issues of integration and accommodation, many of the claims and challenges deriving from cultural diversity have yet to arise.

The pattern of diversity emerging in Ireland is distinctive in a number of ways. Its long history as a country of emigration and recent transformation into a destination of choice for immigrants distinguish it from most EU member states. Ireland has never been a colonial power; its migrants do not come from countries it had previously occupied, although some come from regions in which Irish missionaries were active, arguably participating in the western colonisation enterprise. Ireland did not
have a guest worker programme in the 1950s and 60s, and therefore did not go through a process of coming to terms with the fact of a permanent migrant population that this entailed. As immigration is still a recent phenomenon in Ireland, the main focus is still on ‘newcomers’ or ‘new communities’ rather than second and third generations. The great bulk of migrants comes from within the European Union and includes a significant contingent of returning Irish migrants. The newcomers are predominantly of working age, and tend to be well educated and highly skilled.

It is also notable that increased immigration coincided with a period of economic prosperity, so that economic competition between the native population and migrants may have been less evident than under the conditions of recession that later came to prevail, and less liable to arouse fears of the potentially negative impact of the newcomers. These factors may account for the fact that Ireland has not seen the emergence of any real right wing, anti-immigrant party, or indeed any significant political campaign or protest against immigrants as a reaction to its recent large-scale immigration. This is not to discount the evidence for significant underlying levels of racial discrimination and harassment. Recent reports indicate that discrimination in work and other areas is experienced particularly by sub-Saharan Africans, and that immigrant children experience bullying at school.

It is further noteworthy that there has not been a strong emphasis on the ‘security’ issue connected with migration and diversity, unlike in other countries (UK, France for example), by either political parties or government. Nor has ‘Muslim radicalisation’ thus far come to the fore in Ireland. The Muslim community in Ireland is quite different in terms of its varied geographic origins and socio-demographic composition from that in other EU countries. This, and the fact that the Irish Government and institutions have sought to establish a dialogue with the Muslim community and have allowed for some accommodation of religious practices might be seen as the two main reasons for the absence of either major claims or problems with regard to Islam in Ireland. Some issues concerning the hijab in schools have arisen, but these have usually been locally accommodated.

Among the new religious minorities, Sikhs have encountered some difficulties and lack of understanding regarding the observance of their religious practices; this made the headlines in 2007 and 2008. On both occasions, the problems concerned the wearing of the turban – both gave rise to considerable debate, and neither was accommodated. But perhaps the most recurrent challenge to principles of toleration and acceptance arise with respect to Ireland’s indigenous cultural minority, the Travellers, in connection with their status as an ethnic group, the issue of halting sites and educational provision.

Ireland has had to generate immigration and integration policies against a background of rapid change, limited experience, and, until recently, a largely monocultural society. There was no official ‘planning process’ regarding immigration, and it has been argued that, initially, and for a number of years, Ireland lacked a coherent integration policy.

The language of toleration has not been prominent in discussions of diversity. From a historical context in which the toleration of diversity as permission was seen as suspect, Ireland has evolved to a situation in which ‘mere’ tolerance as permission, or even respect, are seen as inadequate responses to diversity. Rather the official emphasis has been on integration of diverse religious and cultural communities now present in Ireland, framed in terms of ‘interculturalism’, defined in Ireland by the National Consultative Committee on Racism and Interculturalism (2006) as the ‘development of strategy, policy and practices that promote interaction, understanding, respect and integration between different cultures and ethnic groups on the basis that cultural diversity is a strength that can enrich society, without glossing over issues such as racism’ (NCCRI, 2006, p. 29). This emphasis on interculturalism as a strategy for integration and social cohesion again distinguishes Ireland from other EU countries whose focus has been on either assimilation or multiculturalism. Yet the development of institutional and practical toleration, as well as attitudes of toleration, has been mixed.
It may be speculated whether the late arrival of immigrant cultural diversity will or will not allow new approaches to tolerance, and lessons from other countries’ experience to be applied.
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1. Introduction

Ireland, an island on the western periphery of Europe, has had a distinctive history in Europe in not having been conquered by the Romans, but having been converted to Christianity at an early stage. Its peripheral position has historically often delayed the arrival of waves of social and cultural change in other parts of Europe. Part of its self-identity has derived from the narrative of its having been as a refuge for civilisation and Christianity during the invasions of what were once known as the ‘dark ages’, when it was described as ‘the island of saints and scholars’. Another part derives from its history of invasion, settlement and colonisation and, more specifically from its intimate relationship with Great Britain.

The Republic of Ireland now occupies approximately five-sixths of the island of Ireland but from the Act of Union in 1800 until 1922, all of the island of Ireland was effectively part of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland and ruled directly by the Westminster parliament in London. The war of Independence ended with the 1921 Anglo-Irish Treaty, and on 6 December 1922 the entire island of Ireland became a self-governing British dominion called the Irish Free State (Saorstát Éireann). Northern Ireland chose to opt out of the new dominion and rejoined the United Kingdom on 8 December 1922. In 1937, a new constitution, the Constitution of Ireland (Bunreacht na hÉireann), replaced the Constitution of the Irish Free State in the twenty-six county state, and called the state Ireland, or Éire in Irish. This completed a process of gradual separation from the British Empire that governments had pursued since independence. However, it was not until 1949, after the passage of the Republic of Ireland Act 1948, that the state was declared, officially, to be the Republic of Ireland (Garvin, 2005).

During British rule and initial independence, Ireland was one of the poorest countries in Western Europe and was regarded by most of the global community as a small and remote island, still struggling to find its place in the world five decades after gaining independence from the UK, and suffering from poverty, mass unemployment and high emigration (Fitzpatrick, 1989, 1996). The protectionist economy was opened in the late 1950s, and Ireland joined what was then the European Economic Community (EEC) in 1973. This had an impact on Ireland’s development as a nation that not even the most optimistic observers could have predicted. Membership contributed to rapid progress and increased prosperity in a range of areas including the development of agriculture, industry and services. It is estimated that 700,000 jobs have been created in Ireland during the years of membership and that trade has increased 90 fold. EU funds have also contributed significantly in supporting the peace and reconciliation process in Northern Ireland.

Ireland has held the Presidency of the Council of the European Union on six occasions, and most recently, in 2004 when it oversaw the biggest enlargement in the history of the Union with the accession of ten new Member States - it was also one of the only three countries to open its borders to the workers from these new member states without restrictions or the need for a work permit.

Although the Lisbon Treaty was controversial, and was approved only after two referendums, Ireland has consistently been one of the most pro-European member states, with 72% of the population

---

3 Department of Foreign Affairs - http://www.dfa.ie/home/index.aspx?id=28457
4 Ireland is scheduled to hold the presidency again in 2013
5 http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/europe/3675801.stm
thinking EU membership is a good thing and 81% thinking Ireland has benefited from being a member of the EU, according to a 2009 Eurobarometer poll.\footnote{Eurobarometer (2009). Ireland was the only member state to hold a referendum on the Treaty. In a first vote on 12 June 2008 the treaty was rejected; however, a second vote was held on 2 October 2009 and approved the Treaty.}

As Ireland increasingly looked to Europe changes have not only been economic but political and psychological as well. Ardagh for instance has argued that the EU has ‘enabled the old unequal face-to-face relationship with Britain to change into a new, more relaxed partnership, within a wider club where both are equal members; and this has eased the old Irish complex about the English’ (Ardagh, 1994, p. 328). But Ireland has also entertained strong and complex relations with the United States, as Mary Harney, then deputy Prime Minister expressed it in a speech in 2000: ‘As Irish people our relationships with the United States and the European Union are complex. Geographically we are closer to Berlin than Boston. Spiritually we are probably a lot closer to Boston than Berlin’. \footnote{Remarks by Tánaiste, Mary Harney at a Meeting of the American Bar Association in the Law Society of Ireland, Dublin on 21st July 2000 - http://www.deti.ie/press/2000/210700.htm}

After a further period of economic recession in the 1980s, the 1990s saw the beginning of the substantial economic growth that became known as the Celtic Tiger. Social changes accompanied this process, ranging from the decline in authority of the Catholic Church to a dramatic rise of immigration. In 1996 the country reached its migration ‘turning point’, the most recent EU-15 member state to become a country of net immigration - a decade later, in 2006, non-Irish nationals represented approximately 10% of the population. In the context of our study, the importance of these developments was the late and rapid rate of immigration and the arrival of a multicultural population at a time when Ireland came to be classified as one of the most globalised countries in the world.\footnote{Carswell (2010). The globalisation index includes data on openness to trade, capital movements, exchange of technology and ideas, labour movements and cultural integration.}

This sets the scene for the current dominant sense of Irishness, which is an amalgam of references to Gaelic culture, Catholic religion, invasion and oppression, historical emigration and recent experience of economic success and cultural diversity, and the subsequent exploration of ‘tolerance’ in the Irish context.

### 2. National Identity, State Formation and Citizenship

#### 2.1 National identity

It may be argued that Irish national identity is defined primarily in opposition to Britain, or more specifically, England – the ‘other’ in terms of which it has been formulated. This also has significance for the position of the Protestant minority, the internal other, who have been seen as aligned with England, even if descended from those resident in Ireland from as long ago as the seventeenth century.

Nonetheless, social connections with Britain but also with the USA were substantial, as up to the late 20\textsuperscript{th} century these represented the principal destination[s] of the emigrant flow that became a significant feature of Irish life, especially from the Famine of 1845-49, in which, of a population of 8 million, 1 million died and 1 million emigrated. This particular event and the legacy of emigration are also formative experiences in Irish self-definition.
Relations with other Celtic neighbours have been less significant even though the Irish traditionally looked beyond England to France and Spain, which were for long Catholic powers, and traditional enemies of England, and where Irish exiles went for education, to serve in military, and to seek military and political support for independence.

In this sense, the conception of Irish identity has always been predominantly ethnic. Two principal strands intertwined in the nineteenth century: the Gaelic language and culture, and the Catholic religion. This identification of the two was maintained despite the leading role of a number of Protestant (Anglo-Irish) or English figures in both the national Gaelic cultural revival of the late nineteenth century and the political independence movement of the early twentieth century. This tension surfaced in a debate on Irish identity that raged furiously in the context of the Northern Ireland Troubles from the late 1960s. A central issue was whether the Troubles should be seen as a problem of a British occupation that oppressed both Catholics and Protestants in Northern Ireland, while dividing them and setting one group against the other, or of the presence of Northern Ireland Protestants as an alien settler class oppressing the Catholic population. From this, a variety of interpretations of what it meant to be Irish emerged – was it to be Catholic, to be Gaelic speaking, to participate in Gaelic cultural and sporting activities, to live in Ireland, or to have been shaped by its history? Was it, as Conor Cruise O’Brien (1965) once wrote, ‘not primarily a question of birth, blood or language, but the condition of being involved in the Irish situation and usually of being mauled by it’?

Another historically important dimension of the Irish self-image has been that of a predominantly rural people. There was very limited industrialisation or urbanization outside Dublin in the southern part of the country in contrast to the area around Belfast. More than half the population was engaged in agriculture until well into the second half of the twentieth century. In recent years, this pattern has changed significantly, with population growth, and significant agglomeration of the population in urban areas, especially Dublin, and in 2010 over one and a half million people live in the Greater Dublin area, over one-third of the population.

A further aspect of identity, if less controversial, is that living in Ireland was for most Irish people considered a precondition of being ‘really’ Irish. Despite references to the evil of emigration in literature and policy documents, the Irish diaspora was given little attention until President Mary Robinson’s 1995 address to the Joint Houses of the Oireachtas, ‘Cherishing the Irish Diaspora’, in which she reached out to the ‘70 million people worldwide who can claim Irish descent’ and spoke of the ‘added richness of our heritage that Irishness is not simply territorial’. Those who claimed to be Irish by descent, living in the United States or Britain were not seen as really Irish by those living on the island, and have sometimes been referred to in recent years as ‘plastic paddies’ (Hickman, 2002). This reflects a practical attitude of what has been termed a ‘twenty-six county nationalism’, which contrasted to the equally widely held official belief in the goal of unity of the whole island. Allied to this was a growing gulf between those living in the Republic, and those in Northern Ireland, both Protestant/Unionist and Catholic/nationalist, due to the different experiences on each side of the border since independence in 1922. At the same time, living in Ireland was not enough to be considered Irish, as even after living on Irish soil for many years individuals were regarded as ‘newcomers’.

2.2 The foundation of the state

The institutional framework set up in 1922 operated first on the basis of an agreement with the British Government, and while it gave Ireland a limited independence, it did not initially lead to a radical restructuring of Irish politics or Irish society. The partition however, led to a further characteristic of Irish political identity - irredentism - with respect to what were known in the South as

---

the ‘six counties’. In addition to its history, the geographic unity of the island was emphasised as a naturally defining characteristic.

However, from the 1930s more distinctive social and economic policies were adopted, and the 1937 Constitution set out a model for the Irish state that was guided by the intention to express and promote a specifically Irish and Catholic way of life and norms. On the one hand, the Constitution provided for freedom of worship, prohibited the establishment or endowment of any religion, and ruled out religious discrimination. On the other hand, even though it did not establish the Catholic Church as the state church (and recognised other Christian denominations and Judaism), the Constitution did emphasise that Catholicism was the religion of the majority, and, while there was a separation of church and state in one sense, it explicitly embodied an extensive range of Catholic social principles in the text. In addition the Church was given significant powers especially in the areas of health, education and social affairs. From 1933 at least, the Irish language was to be restored to the position of national language, became compulsory in schools and was required for work in the public service, the legal profession and other areas. Thus from its foundation, the state set about constituting a system that would give priority to the Gaelic and Catholic elements of Irish identity.

Gradual change in the character of Irish society, and a motivation of rapprochement with the Protestant majority in Northern Ireland led to the removal of the reference to ‘the special position’ of the Catholic Church in 1973, and the repeal of constitutional elements and legal prohibitions seen as particularly connected with Catholic beliefs and practices, such as the prohibition on divorce in 1996, though this was driven also by a strong demand within the Republic itself. The peace settlement of 1998 finally brought about a redefinition of the constitutional self-description of Ireland.

An indicator of wider contemporary concerns for equality may be seen in current legislation that forbids discrimination in employment and services (in both the public and private sectors), on grounds of gender (including transsexuals), marital status, family status, sexual orientation, age, disability, race (including nationality), religion (or lack thereof), and membership of the Traveller community.

2.3 Citizenship policy

Despite the predominantly ethnic conception of Irish identity that prevailed in the twentieth century, Irish citizenship may be seen as representing a somewhat more civic conception of Irishness. Irish citizenship laws have evolved under the influences of British legal inheritance, republican ideas of political membership expressed in the state’s founding documents, the territorial claim over Northern Ireland, and the fact of emigration. The first three influences contributed to the centrality of ius soli, the last to the place of ius sanguinis in these laws. What follows draws on previous research on citizenship policy change in Ireland (Honohan, 2007; Handoll, 2010).

In the system that emerged citizenship was granted on the basis of ius soli to those born on the island as a whole, and on the basis of ius sanguinis to the children and grandchildren of ‘natural born’ citizens. Thus, alongside a conception inclusive of the resident population, the children of emigrants were granted citizenship on a medium term basis.

---

10 Article 44.2 Section 2 of the original 1937 Constitution read: The State recognises the special position of the Holy Catholic Apostolic and Roman Church as the guardian of the Faith professed by the great majority of the citizens.
11 The article of the Constitution naming specific religious groups, including the Catholic Church, was deleted by the fifth amendment of the Constitution in 1972.
12 The current conditions allow those with an Irish-born grandparent to claim Irish citizenship. Those born abroad to Irish citizens born abroad may become citizens on registration.
The foundation of *ius soli* laid the basis for a relatively open conception of citizenship, albeit one that sat uneasily with the more firmly bounded and exclusive ethno-cultural conception of the nation that prevailed in the public consciousness and influenced many areas of policy. Indeed there has been a continuous tension between loosely ethnic and civic conceptions of membership, encapsulated in Joyce’s debate between ‘the citizen’, who defines the nation in ethno-cultural terms, speaks of ‘our greater Ireland beyond the sea’, and says ‘we want no more strangers in our house’ and the Jewish Bloom, who defines himself as Irish because he was born in Ireland, and the nation as ‘the same people living in the same place’ (Joyce, 1971 [1922], pp. 322, 328, 329).

For those who come to live permanently in Ireland, the conditions for naturalisation are a relatively short period of residence (legal residence in five of the previous eight years), the intention to live in the country, being deemed to be ‘of good character’, and swearing an oath of fidelity to the nation and loyalty to the State. There is still no test of language ability or cultural knowledge. But there is a high level of ministerial discretion, including the power to dispense with conditions on the basis of Irish descent or associations. In practice until recently the numbers applying were also rather limited. In recent years applications have increased, and there is a concern that ministerial discretion has been used to refuse many applications, and that there is no procedure for appeal (Handoll, 2010).

The most significant recent change in citizenship laws arose in the context of developments in the Northern Ireland peace process, and, in particular, of the dimension of North-South reconciliation in this process. As part of the Good Friday (or Belfast) Agreement, the article embodying the territorial claim to Northern Ireland was removed from the Irish constitution. It was replaced by the following article, passed (with the rest of the Good Friday Agreement) by referendum in 1998:

‘It is the entitlement and birthright of every person born in the island of Ireland, which includes its islands and seas, to be part of the Irish nation. That is also the entitlement of all persons otherwise qualified by law to be citizens of Ireland. Furthermore, the Irish nation cherishes its special affinity with people of Irish ancestry living abroad who share its cultural identity and heritage.’ (Irish Constitution 1998, Article 2)

This amendment was intended to establish constitutionally what had previously existed on a statutory basis. It granted the right to Irish citizenship to those born in Northern Ireland independently of the claim to territorial sovereignty over Northern Ireland. At the same time, it made a gesture towards the claims of Irish descendants that fell short of any explicit constitutional right to citizenship.

A significant change in the grant of citizenship was made in 2004, through which Ireland ceased to be the only country in Europe that granted unconditional *ius soli*. A referendum was held on this provision in the light of what was perceived as the instrumental use of birth in Ireland as a means to claiming residence in Ireland or another EU Member State. The Irish government introduced the proposal to restrict *ius soli* as a technical change necessary to remove a perverse incentive to give birth in Ireland. The restriction was defended on a number of grounds that included: preserving the integrity of Irish citizenship, coming into line with other European Union member countries, reducing pressure on maternity hospitals, and protecting the health of babies and their mothers induced to travel in late pregnancy. Rather than removing or amending the recently introduced Article 2, the proposal inserted a provision in Article 9 (on citizenship), as follows:

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Constitution, a person born in the island of Ireland, which includes its islands and its seas, who does not have, at the time of his or her birth, at least one parent who is an Irish citizen or entitled to be an Irish citizen is not entitled to Irish citizenship or nationality, unless otherwise provided for by law.

---

13 The pre-1998 Article 2 read: ‘The national territory consists of the whole island of Ireland, its islands and the territorial seas.’
This returned the allocation of citizenship on the basis of *ius soli* to a legislative matter. But constitutionally it retained an element of effective *ius sanguinis* in making constitutional *ius soli* citizenship dependent on the citizenship of a parent. The legislation subsequently introduced (Irish Citizenship and Nationality Act 2005) grants *ius soli* citizenship only to a child whose parent has been legally resident for 3 of the previous 4 years, focusing thus on the parent’s status and length of prior residence. Not just a technical adjustment, this change effectively tilted the conception of citizenship embodied in the constitution towards *ius sanguinis*.

3. Cultural diversity challenges during the last 30 years

3.1 Ireland’s current population

Demographically, Ireland’s history has been one of invasion, settlement, colonisation, and net emigration. For decades dating back to the famine in the 1840s, emigration has been a significant feature of Irish life. Some have even argued that after 1840, emigration had become a massive, relentless, and efficiently managed national enterprise (Fitzpatrick, 1989; 1996).

The population of the area now comprising the Republic of Ireland was over 6.5 million in the first major census of population, the Great Census of 1841. The deaths which resulted from the Famine of 1845/49 and the large scale emigration which followed led to a halving of the population by 1901, and the population low point of 2.8 million was reached in the 1961 Census. Employment expansion in the 1970s resulted in net inward migration, but the 1980s were again characterised by strong net outward migration. The population has increased in every intercensal year since then, apart from 1986-1991 when a fairly modest decline was experienced. As the economic recovery started to take hold, however, migration turned around in dramatic fashion, and since 1996 there has been strong net inward migration.

Comparing Ireland to other European Union countries underlines the rapid changes that took place during this period. During 1990-1994, Ireland was the only country among the member states of the then EU-15 with a negative net migration rate. In contrast, between 1995 and 1999, the country’s average annual net migration rate was the second highest in the EU-15 (the first was Luxembourg) (MacÉinrí and Walley, 2003).

The 2002-2006 period witnessed record population growth with the annual increase amounting to 79,000 - consisting of a natural increase of 33,000 and an annual net inward migration of 46,000. As a result, in recent years, Ireland has experienced a rapid growth in ethnic, religious and cultural diversity. This diversity builds on the diversity (albeit in relatively small numbers) that always existed in Ireland and now includes Travellers, Protestants, Jews, Muslims and minorities from a variety of, Asian and African origins. Ireland is now a very diverse society – in terms of nationality, ethnic background and religion.

Ireland’s population enumerated by the census of 2006 was 4,239,848 persons, an increase of 8.2% since the 2002 Census. The number of Irish nationals was 3.7 million, representing a 3.4 % increase since 2002. Most significantly, non-Irish nationals had increased from 224,000 to 420,000 over the same period, an 87% increase. They come from 188 different countries and, in overall terms, make up 10% of Ireland’s population (see Table 1 below).

The fastest growing categories were EU nationals (66% of non-Irish nationals), 37% were from the EU15 including the UK; 29% were from the 10 countries that joined the European Union in 2004; 11% were from Asia; 8% were from Africa; and 5% were from America. The top ten nationalities were UK (112,548), Polish (63,276), Lithuanian (24,628), Nigerian (16,300), Latvian...
(13,319), US (12,475), Chinese (11,161), German (10,289), Filipino (9,548) and French (9,046), and these accounted for 82% of the total.

Table 1  Usually resident population by nationality, 2002 and 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nationality</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thousands</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish</td>
<td>3,585.0</td>
<td>92.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>103.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other EU 25</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of Europe</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other countries</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple nationality</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No nationality</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,858.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Central Statistics Office (2007a)

A question on ‘ethnic or cultural background’ was included for the first time in the 2006 census. It is interesting to note that approximately 72,300 people ‘refused’ to answer this question. Both the format and the implications of this question have been contested; Cadogan (2008) for example argues that ‘the pre-given ‘ethnic categories’ of the Irish Census 2006 are questionable, in part, insofar as they implicitly consolidate a large ‘white Irish’ ethnic grouping as culturally homogenous, as an undifferentiated ‘majority’ against which ‘minorities’ are highlighted as exotic and deviant’ – it is also argued that ‘white’ and ‘black’ are presented in the census as if matter-of-factly referring to skin colour, and as if ethnicity simply and unequivocally adhered to each these category (see also King-O’Riain 2006; 2007). Among those who responded, ‘White’ was the predominant category accounting for nearly 95% of the usually resident population. The detailed groups were as follows:

• Irish (87.4%);
• Irish Traveller (0.5%);
• any other white background (6.9%);
• African (1.0%);
• any other black background (0.1%);
• Chinese (0.4%);
• any other Asian background (0.9%);
• other, including mixed background (1.1%).

Increased immigration has also led to an important increase in religious diversity. The effect has been to increase all minority religions, especially the Protestant, Orthodox and Muslim populations (see Table 2).

The primary religion in the Republic of Ireland remains Christianity, dominated by the Roman Catholic Church. In 2006, approximately 86.8% of the population identified themselves as Roman Catholic. The number recorded increased by 218,800 or 6.3% between 2002 and 2006. However, as the percentage increase was lower than for the population as a whole over that period (8.2%), the share of Roman Catholics in the population fell from 88.4% in 2002 to 86.8% in 2006.
### Table 2  
Population classified by religion, 2002 and 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thousands</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roman Catholic</td>
<td>3,462.6</td>
<td>3,681.4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church of Ireland (incl. Protestant)</td>
<td>115.6</td>
<td>125.6</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim (Islamic)</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>69.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Christian religion</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presbyterian</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthodox</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>99.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methodist</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apostolic or Pentecostal</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>157.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buddhist</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>67.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindu</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>96.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutheran</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>72.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evangelical</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>39.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jehovah's Witness</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baptist</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pantheist</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agnostic</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latter Day Saints (Mormon)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>48.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atheist</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>85.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quaker (Society of Friends)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lapsed Roman Catholic</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baha'i</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brethren</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>64.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other stated religions</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No religion</td>
<td>138.3</td>
<td>186.3</td>
<td>34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>-11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3,917.2</td>
<td>4,239.8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Central Statistics Office (2007c)

Significant Protestant denominations are the Church of Ireland (Anglican), the Presbyterian Church in Ireland, followed by the Methodist Church in Ireland; these have also grown in numbers. The most dramatic increases, however, have been the Muslim and Orthodox communities. Muslims represented the third largest religious category in 2006 – up 13,400 to just over 32,500. While adherents of the Orthodox religion doubled in number to 20,800 between 2002 and 2006. In percentage terms, this means that Orthodoxy and Islam were the fastest growing religions, up by 100% and 70% respectively (CSO, 2007c). The small Jewish community in Ireland also recorded a marginal increase in the same period. The 2006 census also recorded 186,318 people (4.4% of the population) who described themselves as having ‘no religion’. An additional 1,515 people described themselves as agnostic and 929 as atheist. A further 70,322 (1.7%) did not respond to the question.

Changes in the number of adherents of the various religious groupings over recent censuses have been influenced by trends in migration. 92% of Irish nationals were Roman Catholics compared with 50.8% for non-Irish nationals. Irish Travellers reported the highest proportion of Roman Catholics at 95.1%. The religious grouping with the highest proportion of non-Irish national adherents
was Orthodox (84.2%) with its members coming mainly from Eastern Europe outside the European Union. Muslims, with 68% of their adherents being non-Irish nationals, are mainly of African and Asian extraction.

There is now a resumption of net outward migration in Ireland (CSO, 2009a). The number of emigrants from the State in the year to April 2009 is estimated to have increased by almost 44% from 45,300 to 65,100, while the number of immigrants continued to decline over the same period, from 83,800 to 57,300. These combined changes have resulted in a return to net migration for Ireland (-7,800) for the first time since 1995. Of the 65,100 people who emigrated in the year to April 2009, the European Union 12 was by far the largest group accounting for 30,100 with Irish nationals being the second largest at 18,400. At the same time, immigration of all non-Irish national groups showed a decline with those from the EU 12 countries showing the greatest fall from 33,700 in April 2008 to 13,500 in April 2009, a decline of 20,200.

### 3.2 Indigenous minorities

Since the foundation of the state in 1922, the principal minorities with respect to which tolerance issues could potentially arise have been religious: Protestants and Jews, and socio-cultural: Travellers. The relative position of the English and Irish languages also gave rise to some issues of toleration.

A - Protestants

There is a remarkable imbalance in the amount of research on Catholic-Protestant relationships in the two parts of the island: in the North the literature runs to thousands of items; in the South it comes to little more than a handful – it seems that in the South Catholic-Protestant relationships are not a significant issue.

With the partition of Ireland in 1922, 92.6% of the Free State’s population were Catholic while 7.4% were Protestant (Collins, 1993, p. 431). By the 1960s, the Protestant population had fallen by half, in part reflecting a disproportionate rate of Protestant emigration. Many Protestants left the country in the early 1920s, either because they felt unwelcome in a predominantly Catholic and nationalist state, because they were afraid due to the burning of Protestant homes (particularly of the old landed class) by republicans during the civil war, because they regarded themselves as British and did not wish to live in an independent Irish state, or because of the economic disruption caused by the recent violence. The Catholic Church had also issued a decree, Ne Temere, whereby the children of marriages between Catholics and Protestants had to be brought up as Catholics. After the end of World War II, the emigration rate of Protestants fell and they became less likely than Catholics to emigrate - indicating their integration into the life of the Irish State.

In 1991, the Protestant population of the Republic of Ireland was at its lowest point at approximately 3%, but the 2006 Census found that a little over 5% of the population was Protestant and that all the Protestant denominations have gained in numbers since 2002 - the Church of Ireland (Anglican) for example, which had declined in membership for most of the twentieth century, has experienced an increase of 8.7%.

---

14 EU 12: defined as the 10 accession countries that joined the EU on 1 May 2004 i.e. Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, Slovakia, Slovenia and includes 2 new accession states that joined the EU on 1 January 2007 (Bulgaria and Romania).

15 The Ne Temere decree was issued in 1908. It was criticised by the Second Vatican Council and repealed in 1970.
Rather than any deep sectarianism, available studies suggest that the situation is complex. Protestantism was established in Ireland as part of a British colonising process and 'Irish independence placed Southern Protestants in the position that for centuries they had struggled to avoid: becoming a minority in a Catholic-dominated state' (Ruane and Todd 2009). Traditionally, being a Protestant in Ireland has carried with it presumptions of British identity and loyalty, and of distinction from Catholics not simply in terms of belief, but by virtue of a different history on the island of Ireland and different ethnic origins.

Coakley (1998) posed the question whether Southern Irish Protestants are an ethnic or a religious minority, and his conclusions tended to indicate that they are now a religious rather than an ethnic minority. Most studies effectively indicate that Protestants in Ireland, with very few exceptions, see themselves as Irish rather than British. They are, however, conscious of a difference from their Catholic neighbours. Although there were class divisions within the Protestant population, they were less significant than in Northern Ireland, as were denominational differences, as the various Protestant churches were present in smaller numbers.

After partition, it was often considered that Protestants in many ways constituted a privileged minority in terms of ownership of land, industrial property, and income. However, Butler and Ruane (2009) argue that their situation was far from unproblematic: ‘They were subjected to Catholic and nationalist triumphalism, they were not fully accepted by Catholics as part of the Irish nation, the Catholic Church’s Ne Temere decree was given legal standing in law, no allowance was made for the British component of their identity and the Irish language was imposed on their schools though it was not part of their tradition’ (p.73). Between the 1930s and 1960s there were numbers of incidents that led to significant controversies in relation to cases of employment, education and intermarriage.

Nonetheless, with the higher social and economic status of Protestants, freedom of worship, and state support for educational provision for Protestants, toleration of Protestants was not widely perceived to be an issue.

More recently, the dominant view is that the transformation of the Republic into a modern, outward-looking, liberal and pluralist state means that Southern Protestants are now much more at ease with it. Catholics and Protestants share inter-church religious and commemorative ceremonies, schools, workplaces and leisure activities, and there is more recognition by the state of the distinctive history, identity and memory of its Protestant citizens. The evolution of the situation in Northern Ireland has also given Southern Protestants an opportunity to re-negotiate their identity, separating the religious and ethnic aspects of Protestantism and renegotiating boundaries. While the question of a ‘dilution of the Protestant identity’ within the majority culture has been raised, Todd et al. (2009) argue that, rather than ‘disappearing’, Protestants in the Republic are redefining their identity and renegotiating their ways of being Protestant in various ways.

Controversies emerged in 2009 following the reclassification of schools in the October Budget and the decision to remove ancillary grants for fee-paying Protestant schools, covering expenses such as caretaker and secretarial supports, and to increase their pupil-teacher ratio. This special arrangement had been in place since 1967, and was seen as an acknowledgment that the schools were viewed by the Government as separate, serving a special purpose by allowing the geographically dispersed Protestant population to maintain affordable education provisions in accordance with their religious ethos. The decision was widely criticised by the Protestant community.

---

16 See Bowen (1983), Mennell et al. (2000), Murphy and Adair (2002).
17 See for instance the appointment of a librarian in County Mayo in 1931 and the Fethard-on-Sea boycott in 1957.
B - Jews

Ireland’s Jewish population dates mainly from the last years of the nineteenth century. However, the earliest reference to the Jews in Ireland was in the year 1079. A permanent settlement of Jews was definitely established in the late fifteenth century when, following their expulsion from Portugal in 1496, some Marrano Jews settled on Ireland’s south coast. Ireland’s first synagogue was founded in 1660 near Dublin Castle. There was an increase in Jewish immigration to Ireland during the late 19th and early 20th centuries. In 1871, the Jewish population of Ireland was 258; by 1881, it had risen to 453. By 1901, there were an estimated 3,771 Jews in Ireland and by 1904, the total Jewish population had reached an estimated 4,800. Most of the immigration up to this time had come from England or Germany. In the wake of the Russian pogroms there was increased immigration, mostly from Eastern Europe and in particular from Lithuania. As Ireland was part of the United Kingdom at this time, the Jewish community benefited from the British government's emancipation laws, and new synagogues and schools were established to cater for the community. Many of the following generation became prominent in business, academic, political and sporting circles.

Ireland’s Jewish population reached its peak in the late 1940s and declined steadily since (mainly through emigration to larger Jewish communities such as those in the United States, England and Israel). With the arrival of the Celtic Tiger and the immigration it has brought, the Jewish community has also benefited from new families settling down. According to the 2006 census, there are 1,930 Jews in the Republic of Ireland (CSO, 2007c). The Republic of Ireland currently has three synagogues: two in Dublin, one in Cork. There is a further synagogue in Northern Ireland, in Belfast.

The Irish Constitution of 1937 originally gave specific constitutional protection to Jews (along with named Christian denominations). This was considered to be a necessary component to the constitution by De Valera because of the treatment of Jews elsewhere in Europe at the time (O’Grada, 2006). With the Fifth Amendment, the reference to the Jews, as other religious groups, was removed from the Constitution in 1973.19

Although the Jewish community has always been small in numbers, it has generally been well-accepted into Irish life and incidents of overt anti-Semitism in Ireland have generally been few and far between. However, historically, there have been some cases of ‘institutional’ and ‘perceived’ anti-Semitism. One of the most serious incidents recorded is the anti-Semitic boycott in Limerick in the first decade of the 20th century known as the Limerick Pogrom, which caused many Jews to leave the city. It was instigated by a fundamentalist Catholic priest, Fr. John Creagh of the Redemptorist Order, who incited the local population against ‘blood-sucking’ Jewish money-lenders. His sermons led to a two-year trade boycott of Jewish businesses that was accompanied by harassment and beatings and resulted in the almost total departure of the Limerick Jewish community (Rivlin, 2003).

There was also some domestic anti-Jewish sentiment during World War II, most notably expressed in a notorious speech to the Dáil in 1943, when independent T.D. Oliver J. Flanagan advocated ‘rout ing the Jews out of the country’ and a certain indifference from the political establishment to the Jewish victims of the Holocaust during and after the war.20 Ireland had an extremely restrictive policy on immigration for Jews from Europe during the Nazi period. When Ireland held its first Holocaust Memorial Day on 26 January 2003 in Dublin City Hall, Justice

---

19 Changes to the text: Deletion of the entirety of Article 44.1.2: ‘The State recognises the special position of the Holy Catholic Apostolic and Roman Church as the guardian of the Faith professed by the great majority of the citizens’ and Deletion of the entirety of Article 44.1.3: ‘The State also recognises the Church of Ireland, the Presbyterian Church in Ireland, the Methodist Church in Ireland, the Religious Society of Friends in Ireland, as well as the Jewish Congregations and the other religious denominations existing in Ireland at the date of the coming into operation of this Constitution’ - http://www.constitution.org/cons/ireland/constitution_ireland-en.htm

20 Dáil Éireann - Volume 91 - 9 July, 1943.
Minister Michael McDowell apologised for a policy that was inspired by ‘a culture of muted anti-Semitism in Ireland’. He said that ‘at an official level the Irish state was at best coldly polite and behind closed doors antipathetic, hostile and unfeeling toward the Jews’. Post-war, Jewish groups had great difficulty in getting refugee status for Jewish children (Keogh, 1998) and the Department of Justice explained in 1948 that:

It has always been the policy of the Minister for Justice to restrict the admission of Jewish aliens, for the reason that any substantial increase in our Jewish population might give rise to an anti-Semitic problem.

However, de Valera overruled the Department of Justice and the one hundred and fifty refugee Jewish children were brought to Ireland in 1948.

Nevertheless, Jews were generally prosperous and respected in society from the middle of the twentieth century. Thus for example, at one time in the 1990s, there were three Jewish TDs (MPs). Although many Jews complain of increased apprehension in the community relating primarily to events in the Middle East and Europe, there appears to be no perceptible change in attitudes among the Irish population. Incidents of anti-Semitism are considered to be few and at a low level, with no evidence of systematic targeting of the Jewish community in Ireland. Only two anti-Semitic incidents were recorded in 2003 in the category of abusive behaviour compared to 12 in 2002 and 2 in 2001.

C - Travellers

A cultural minority regarded as Irish, but becoming increasingly marginalised in Irish society throughout the 20th century are ‘Irish Travellers’. The historical origins of Irish Travellers are the subject of academic and popular debates. It was once widely believed that Travellers were descendants from landowners or labourers made homeless by Cromwell's military campaign in Ireland and in the 1840s famine. However, their origins may be more complex and difficult to ascertain because through their history the Travellers have left no written records of their own. Furthermore, even though all families claim ancient origins, some families adopted Traveller customs centuries ago, while others did so in more modern times (Gmelch, 1986). Bhreatnach (2007) has shown that the community perceive important internal differences based on origin myths, economic and occupation traditions, marriage patterns, language and behaviour, and suggests that we view this society as a series of micro-ethnicities, comprising intermarrying clusters that see themselves as distinct from other Travellers.

An exact figure for the Traveller population in Ireland is unknown. There were 22,435 Irish Travellers, representing 0.53% of the total population, enumerated in the 2006 census. This represents a decline of 1,254 or 5.3 % compared with 2002. However, Traveller organisations estimate that there may be up to 30,000 Travellers with a further 1,500 Travellers in the North of Ireland.

The age profile of Travellers is markedly different from that of the population as a whole: over 40% of Travellers are under 14, only 24% are aged 35 or over and, most strikingly, only about 3% are aged 65 or over. Their marital status also differs markedly from the population as a whole. Between the ages of 15 and 24, for example, almost a quarter of Travellers are married compared with only 2% of the population as a whole.

Originally following a nomadic lifestyle and pursuing occupations of horse rearing and traditional rural crafts and services, the urbanisation of Irish society led to the disruption of their way of life. On the one hand there were calls for the provision of education and other services to improve their welfare; on the other hand policies promoted their settlement and conformity to urban norms.

---

21 Irish Times, January 26, 2003
22 Department of Justice Memorandum ‘Admission of One Hundred Jewish children’ 28 April 1948
23 Pavee Point Website, www.pavee.ie
While there is a traveller dialect (gammon or cant) this does not create a linguistic division between Travellers and the rest of population.

There have been increasing tensions with the ‘settled community’, over locations of settlement and anti-social behaviour, feuding and inter-Traveller violence. The legal requirement of the state to provide serviced halting sites has generally not been met, in part due to local resistance to their establishment in particular areas, and laws of trespass have rendered many of their practices illegal.

The European Parliament Committee of Enquiry on Racism and Xenophobia found Travellers to be amongst the most discriminated against ethnic group in Irish society (Dunaher, Kenny and Leder, 2009). Travellers fare poorly on every indicator used to measure disadvantage: unemployment, poverty, social exclusion, health status, infant mortality, life expectancy, illiteracy, education and training levels, access to decision making and political representation, gender equality, access to credit, accommodation and living conditions.24 Individuals, when recognised as Travellers, are sometimes refused access to public places or services such as shops, pubs, restaurants and leisure facilities. Individuals often experience verbal or physical abuse because of their identity. Prejudice against Travellers is so strong that MacGréil (1996, p. 341) described the prevailing attitude in relation to Travellers as one of ‘caste-like apartheid’. The 2006 Census also shows that 43% of Travellers aged 15 or over had left school before the age of 15 and that only 1% had been in education beyond the age of 18.

Since the 1960s there have been several official initiatives to address these problems, even though early efforts blatantly identified the Traveller lifestyle as ‘the problem’ and advocated a policy of assimilation (Helleiner, 2000; Fanning, 2002). State policy on Irish Travellers can be separated into three distinct phases based on the reports of three government bodies. The Report of the Commission of Itinerancy (1963) explicitly used the language of assimilation in trying to identify how the ‘problem’ of Travellers might be solved and recommended housing Travellers as the most effective means of assimilating them into the settled community. The Report of the Travelling People Review Body (1983) acknowledged that ‘the concept of absorption is unacceptable’; it went on, however, to assert that housing was still the best form of accommodation for Travellers. Finally, the Report of the Taskforce on the Travelling Community (1995) recommended that the distinctive elements of Traveller culture be recognised and supported by a range of government policies. As a result, a number of policy interventions were undertaken and consultation bodies established such as the Traveller Accommodation Unit and the National Traveller Accommodation Consultative Committee.

However, one of the main issues regarding Irish Travellers is their recognition as an ethnic group (Equality Authority, 2006, p. 9). In December 2008 the Irish Traveller Movement launched the Traveller Ethnicity campaign (ITM, 2009) and their claim is supported by the Equality Authority, which emphasises that the lack of recognition as an ethnic group ‘has negative practical implications in the promotion of equality of opportunity for Travellers and in the elimination of discrimination experienced by Travellers’ (Equality Authority, 2006, p.8). However, the Irish government does not officially recognise Travellers as an ethnic group and refer to them as a ‘cultural group’. It is interesting to note that the category ‘Irish Traveller’ is also a Census category in Britain where Gypsies and Irish Travellers have been recognised by the courts to be two distinct ethnic groups and thus have the full protection of the Race Relations Act (Commission for Racial Equality, 2006). Instead, the Equal Status Act of the Oireachtas, 2000 for example states: “Traveller community” means the community of people who are commonly called Travellers and who are identified (both by themselves and others) as people with a shared history, culture and traditions including, historically, a nomadic way of life on the island of Ireland.”

24 NCCRI - http://www.ncri.ie/traveller.html
Furthermore, in December 2009 The Combined Third and Fourth Reports by Ireland to the United Nations International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination stated:

‘…The Irish Government’s view is that Travellers do not constitute a distinct group from the population as a whole in terms of race, colour, descent or ethnic origin. (...) Whether or not Travellers are considered to form a distinct ethnic group in Irish society is of no domestic legal significance. The key antidiscrimination measures - the Incitement to Hatred Act, 1989, the Unfair Dismissals Acts 1997, the Employment Equality Acts and the Equal Status Acts specifically identify Travellers as a group whose interests are protected in legislation’.

On Sunday 15th August, however, while visiting the Borris Fair in Co. Carlow, the minister of State Mary White announced that civil servants were preparing a document on ‘the practical implications of recognising Travellers as a distinct ethnic group’ (Parsons, 2010).

Language has been less central to matters of toleration, but issues have arisen with respect to Irish and English, the two historical and official languages of the state. Irish, the ‘first official language’, is spoken daily by a small percentage of the population, though knowledge at varying levels is more widely distributed. It is a compulsory school subject (with exemptions for those educated abroad in early childhood), is used in public documentation and plays a significant role in cultural activities including music and literature. Until recently knowledge of Irish was required to pass the school Leaving Certificate, and to enter university or the public service; this requirement has been lifted in most areas, but continues to apply in some, mainly teaching, posts. Irish is given greater priority in the Gaeltacht – those areas of the country officially designated as Irish-speaking – where, however, the number of native speakers has steadily declined. Two principal sorts of issue have arisen: one concerning the adequacy of provision for Irish speakers of, for example, educational resources and legal services in Irish, and the other the constraints, particularly for non-Irish speakers, involved in the provision of education almost exclusively through Irish in the Gaeltacht. Ireland has not signed the European Charter for Regional and Minority Languages.

3.3 Immigrant minorities

As we have seen earlier, Ireland has experienced a strong net inward migration since the mid 1990s. Initially, the most significant groups of immigrants were returning Irish, or came from Britain and the USA, but by the end of the 1990s flows from other parts of the world came as workers in the expanding economy and as asylum seekers.25 After 2000 continuing economic expansion and the enlargement of the EU bought significant flows from Eastern Europe. These rose further when Ireland (together with the UK and Sweden) admitted workers from the 2004 accession countries without requiring work permits.

At the time of the last (2006) Census, there was a total of 420,000 non-Irish nationals living in Ireland, representing 188 different countries. We will focus especially on the groups which have made the strongest imprint on Irish society - three ethnic groups: the Poles, Nigerians and Chinese, and one religious group: Muslims.

---

25 There are almost no statistics available on illegally resident immigrants beyond the number of outstanding deportation orders, mainly for failed asylum applicants. Most illegally resident persons have entered legally and their residence status has later become illegal (Quinn and Hughes, 2005).
A – Poles

Polish migration flows to Ireland started in the mid 1990s and were mainly motivated by economic considerations. After Poland joined the European Union in May 2004, Ireland was one of just three EU members to open its borders to Polish workers, and thus quickly became a key destination for Poles wishing to work abroad; in 2004 a website advertising Irish jobs in Polish received over 170,000 hits in its first day (RTE News, 2004). Polish migrants to Ireland performed the classical ‘chain migration’: they came having been encouraged by someone who had already been staying in Ireland for some time; very often they had a place to live when they arrived, and in some cases they also had a job; in addition, as the issue of visa or work permits does not apply to Polish workers, they only need to register with the Department of Social and Family Affairs and receive a Personal Public Service Number (PPSN) to be entitled to work legally in Ireland (Kropiwiec and King-O’Riain, 2006).

The Polish community now represents the second biggest group of ‘non-Irish nationals’ in Ireland (after UK nationals). A total of 63,276 Poles were living in Ireland in April 2006 – almost 90% arrived in 2004 or later (CSO, 2008). While Poles appear to account for a significant number of those who have left in the post-Tiger period, Polish people still live in every town and city in Ireland, and in some towns they made up a significant proportion of the population. The great majority (84%) aged 15 years and over are working, mainly as employees. It is a young community: seven out of ten are in the 20-34 age group and the average age is 27.5 years. Most Poles live in Polish-only households and about 1 % live with an Irish partner. 95 % indicated an ethnicity of ‘Any other white background’; 93 % were Roman Catholic and 5 % ticked the ‘no religion’ box.

The Polish community is possibly the community that has established itself the most strongly as a community and Polish presence is quite visible in Irish society. The growing number of Poles in Ireland has led to the provision of a number of media outlets catering to them. Newspapers include the Polska Gazeta and a section in Dublin's Evening Herald entitled ‘Polski Herald’. Dublin cable television channel, City Channel, also features a programme aimed at Poles in Ireland entitled Oto Polska (This is Poland). Polish, although not officially a subject in the school curriculum, can be taken as part of the Irish Leaving Certificate examination (as is the case with all official languages of the European Union). During the 2007 Polish general election, Polish parties campaigned in Ireland and three voting locations were set up in Dublin, Cork, and Limerick (RTE News, 2007).

The overall perception of the community is generally positive – Poles are thought to be good workers and reliable, like the Irish they are fervent Catholics, good drinkers and share a common past of fighting against an empire - however there are many accounts of being discriminated against in terms of wages (Roos, 2006). In addition, while well integrated into the wider society, and blending in as white Europeans, Poles tend to live together, socialise together, they have their own media, their own food shops, etc. A significant proportion of the community does not speak English and tends to see Ireland as a ‘temporary’ home, and so many do not feel the need to learn (Lejman, 2006).

B - Nigerians

Although Ireland did not colonise any country in Africa (or elsewhere) in the official sense, through its church missionaries it was considered a part of the alleged western ‘civilizing mission’ in Africa and thus has always entertained strong links with the continent (Rolston and Shannon, 2002; Ugba, 2003; 2004). Africans’ reasons for coming to Ireland have changed overtime; from the 1950s to

---

26 The PPSN is a personal reference number used for access to public services, tax authorities and for health and social benefits.
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The mid-1990s, (the few) Africans in Ireland were mainly students, visitors, or specialized workers including doctors, and nurses. They were reasonably accepted, probably because of their very small numbers and the temporary nature of their stay (Mutwarasibo, 2002).

At the time of the 2006 Census, however, a total of 16,300 Nigerians were living in Ireland – an increase of 82% on the 2002 figure of 8,969 - they now represent the 4th largest ‘non-Irish national’ community (CSO, 2008). Nigerians are by far the most urbanised minority group, with only 4% living in rural areas and four in ten living in Dublin City and suburbs. The age profile for the Nigerian population is also quite different from the other groups: one in four is under 15 while only 15% are in their twenties, and there are more women than men. The percentage of Nigerians aged 15 or over at work in 2006 was the lowest of all groups at 38% while a relatively high number were unemployed or looking for their first job (31%).

Roman Catholic was the main religion (26%), followed by Apostolic or Pentecostal (19%); less than 1% of Nigerians ticked the ‘no religion’ box.

The African/Nigerian presence in Ireland – and especially in Dublin – is now very visible and for instance, so many Africans have set up grocery stores on historic Moore Street that it is known as ‘Little Africa’ (White, 2002). As the main ‘visible’ minority, several issues regarding negative representations, stereotyping, incivilities, discrimination and racism have arisen. A small section within Irish society sees Africans generally as scroungers, illegal immigrants, and so on. According to Mutwarasibo (2002) this is partly a reflection of the images portrayed by some sections of the media that have tended to cover stories on the cost of looking after asylum seekers or the crimes committed by a minority within the immigrant population. As Nigerians were the greatest section of asylum seekers this increased their ‘negative’ visibility (Ruhs 2004; Coakley and Mac Einri, 2007).

Prior to 2004, some highly publicized cases of African women arriving in the latter stages of pregnancy supposedly to avail of the provision within Irish law that children born on Irish soil had a right to Irish citizenship were perceived by the government and some section of the population as unacceptable (Lele, 2008; Ruhs, 2004). This also led to a ‘racial targeting’ of African women and, by extension of the African community in Ireland – according to some (i.e., Lentin, 2003; 2004a; 2004b; Shandy, 2008, Luibhéid, 2004) Africans challenge Ireland’s conflation of national and racial identity and Nigerians, as the largest and most active and ‘visible’ group, have become the focus of discrimination as a result.

C - Chinese

From the 1950s to the 1970s the majority of Chinese immigrants originated from Hong Kong and many Chinese immigrants first travelled to Great Britain before travelling to Ireland. During the 1980s Malaysian Chinese came to Ireland primarily as students, however, it is only since 2002 that people from mainland China have started to come in greater numbers and to open Chinese restaurants. Research on Chinese immigrants in the Republic of Ireland is minimal and most of the academic interest has focused on the Chinese students rather than on the global community (Yau, 2007). Chinese students have been coming to Ireland in significant numbers since 1998, mainly as language students but also as third level students. This inward migration was greatly facilitated by a decision in 2000 to allow all non-EEA students to work part time to help finance their studies. However, in 2005 restrictions were introduced which meant that only full time students on third level courses of at least one year duration were allowed to work (Wang and King-O’Riain 2006).

A total of 11,161 Chinese people were reported as living in Ireland in 2006 - an increase of 91% on the 2002 figure of 5,842 - making them the 7th largest ‘non-Irish national’ minority community. More than 95% live in urban areas – two thirds in Dublin City and its suburbs alone.

CSO (2008). Estimates suggest that the real numbers are considerably larger.
The Chinese are dominated by young single people in their twenties with over 70% in this age group. 71% were single, 27% were married and only 2% were divorced or separated. 97% stated that their ethnic or cultural background was ‘Chinese’ and 80% said they had ‘no religion’, by far the highest per cent of any group, while 6% said Buddhist and 1 in 20 indicated Roman Catholic. Of the 4,406 Chinese residents aged 15 years or over whose education had finished, 31% had completed upper secondary school, a further 14% had completed a non-degree third level course and 28% had obtained a degree or higher. At 43% the number of students was the highest of any minority group; an almost equal number identified themselves as being at work.

Chinese are possibly the most ‘isolated’ minority community: they mainly develop contacts, friendships and support networks within their own community, do not generally socialise in pubs and have their own media. The major newspapers are CNewsxpress and the Shining Emerald Newspaper and there is a Chinese radio station called Chinatown Radio. As a result, Chinese people are still isolated from mainstream Irish society and have parallel communities to the mainstream. However, unlike the Poles or Eastern European generally, Chinese cannot ‘blend in’ society easily: most are not Catholic and they are racialised as ‘non-white’ and clearly a ‘visible’ minority in Ireland (King-O’Riain, 2008). On the other hand, according to Yau (2007), because of the ‘black-white dichotomous framework in Irish society’, Chinese can actually become ‘invisible’.

In their study of Chinese students, Wang and King-O’Riain’s (2006) revealed that respondents who had been here more than four or five years, felt that Irish people had changed their attitudes towards Chinese people in recent years, most likely as a result of the migration increase and argued that ‘They were not as friendly as they used to be’. Many interviewees also felt like ‘a foreigner’ regardless of how long they have been in Ireland. Reports of racial discrimination in employment and in other areas of public life and a degree of acceptance of this, featured in a number of the responses of those interviewed. In addition, in many cases, their immigration status severely limits Chinese people’s freedom - they cannot apply for jobs freely because of the work permit system. As non-white, non-Catholic, non-EU immigrants Chinese tend to report more experiences of racism both at work and on the street.

D – Muslims

Muslims are another rapidly growing minority, one which is potentially ‘visible’ and which may be the only ‘new religious minority’ with the potential truly to challenge Irish society. Compared with other EU countries, especially the neighbouring UK, the Muslim community in Ireland includes a great variety of ethnic and national origins including Malaysia, Somalia, South Africa, Nigeria, Algeria, Libya, Bosnia and Pakistan. Muslims in Ireland also have a distinctive social and economic background as ‘the majority of Muslims that came to Ireland already had a solid background and education. They were doctors, engineers, business people and students. It made it easier for them to integrate and become part of the community’ (Fitzgerald, 2006).

The first Islamic Society in Ireland was formed in 1959 by students from South Africa followed by students from India, Malaysia and the Gulf states and was called the Dublin Islamic Society - it would become the Islamic Foundation of Ireland (I.F.I) in 1990. In 1976 the first mosque and Islamic Centre in Ireland was opened in Dublin, followed in 1978, by the Galway Islamic Society, in 1984, the Cork Muslim Society, and in 1986, the Ballyhaunis Mosque in the northwest of Ireland. In 1994, a house was bought in Limerick for use as a mosque, and in 1999, a branch of the Islamic Foundation of Ireland was formed in Waterford. In 1992 Sheikh Hamdan Ben Rashid Al-Maktoum of Dubai financed the Muslim National School and a purpose built mosque and Islamic Centre in Dublin. This ICCI was officially inaugurated by President Mary Robinson and Sheikh Hamdan Al Maktoum in November 1996. The ICCI now hosts the Muslim National School, a state funded primary school with an Islamic ethos.
According to the 2006 Irish census, there are 32,539 Muslims living in the Republic of Ireland, representing almost a 70% increase over the figures for the 2002 census (19,147) (CSO, 2007c). In comparison, in 1991, the number of Muslims was below 4000 (3,873). 29 Islam is a minority religion in Ireland, and, in terms of numbers, Islam in Ireland is relatively insignificant; although Muslims can claim to be the third largest faith group in Ireland (BBC News, 2007), they also lagged significantly behind those who claimed to have no religion, at 175,252, and those who did not state a religion, at 66,750. However the Muslim community is an important part of the growing ethnic, cultural and religious diversity in Ireland.

The Muslim community is well organised with a number of mosques, some of which have many hundreds of people participating in Friday prayers and others attracting only a small number of people, two Muslim primary schools established under the Department of Education and Science and many societies.30 There are also several student Islamic societies (ISOC) in universities all across Ireland. In 1992 Moosajee Bhamjee became the first - and to date only - Muslim Teachta Dála (Member of Irish Parliament).31 In 2003, the Islamic Cultural Centre and Foras na Gaeilge joined forces to translate the Qur’an into Irish for the first time and in September 2006 the Irish Council of Imams, was established (BBC News, 2003).

The experience of living in Ireland has been generally positive for Muslims. One of the spokespersons for the Islamic Centre, Ali Selim (2005), argues that the Muslim community has integrated well into Irish society and has avoided the assimilation model, preserving their faith and way of life. Nonetheless it has taken some time for accommodations to be made within, for example, the area of health care, where a significant proportion of the Muslim population is employed. There are also sporadic incidents related to racism/Islamophobia. Typical incidents relate to verbal abuse and other forms of harassment and disrespect rather than physical assaults or criminal damage. This can increase at times of heightened global tensions. In particular, the NCCRI Racist Incident Reporting Procedure reported in 2001 that almost one fifth (20%) of all incidents recorded between May and October 2001, were directly related to September 11h.32 These incidents reported physical assaults and verbal abuse against the Islamic community and also those perceived to be of middle-eastern or Asian origin, including visitors to Ireland, migrant workers and refugees and asylum seekers.

As Muslim schools are accommodated within the state funded system, there have not been contentious issues about separate schooling. Many Muslim children attend other schools, and there is as yet, no post-primary Muslim school. Thus Muslim students can encounter a number of issues e.g. food, prayer and hijab. There have been over the past few years some issues regarding headscarves. Many schools allow the wearing of the hijab, but some do not. In September 2008, the Minister for Education and Science and the Minister for Integration jointly agreed recommendations on school uniform policy. The recommendations were that: 1) the current system, whereby schools decide their uniform policy at a local level, is reasonable, works and should be maintained; 2) no school uniform policy should act in such a way that it, in effect, excludes students of a particular religious background from seeking enrolment or continuing their enrolment in a school; 3) schools, when drawing up uniform policy, should consult widely in the school community; and 4) schools should take note of the obligations placed on them by the Equal Status Acts before setting down a school uniform policy. They should also be mindful of the Education Act, 1998 - this obliges boards of management to take account of the principles and requirements of a democratic society and have respect and promote respect for the diversity of values, beliefs, traditions, languages and ways of life in society. The

29 Spectrum, issue 9: July 2005
30 The two primary schools are the Islamic National School in Clonskeagh, with 275 pupils, and the North Dublin Muslim National School Project, which has 153 pupils.
31 Irish Independent, December 19, 2006
32 National Consultative Committee on Racism and Interculturalism (NCCRI)
Education Minister however observed that ‘where schools have permitted the wearing of the hijab in a colour similar to the school uniform, no problems have been encountered’.

The first comprehensive poll of Muslim opinion in Ireland was carried out in 2006 for the Irish Independent/RTE Primetime. The poll revealed that the vast majority of Muslims living in Ireland have integrated successfully into society and strongly reject Islamic extremism (Byrne, 2006). However it also showed that a minority holds more extreme views. Among younger people, More than a third (36%) agreed that they would prefer Ireland to be ruled under Sharia law, while 37% agreed that they would like Ireland to be governed as an Islamic state. It also found 28% of young Muslims aged between 16 and 26 believe violence for political ends is sometimes justified. These contrasting views suggest that there may be important cleavages within the Muslim-Irish community.

E – Sikhs

Finally, another religious minority, much smaller in size but also, to a certain extent increasingly ‘visible’ in Irish society, should be mentioned: the Sikhs. It is impossible to find an exact number for members of the Sikh community in Ireland as the category did not appear in any of the population Censuses and, while it is possible to ‘write-in’ a particular religion on the form, it does not seem that (many) people do as the term ‘Sikh’ does not appear at all in any of the Census findings. However, there are approximately 2,000 Sikhs in Ireland ranging from children to the very elderly.33 They are primarily of Punjabi descent and the main community lives in the Dublin area, but there are also small communities in Cork, Clare, Limerick, Sligo and Roscommon. The only Sikh public place of worship (Gurdwara) in Ireland is based in Dublin. Besides being a place of worship, it functions as an information and support centre for Sikh and Indian immigrants.

Although a number of Sikhs were living in Ireland since the early 1980’s, the main growth of the community took place during the years 2000-2003. The Irish Sikh Council was established in July 2004.34 Though small, the community is quite ‘visible’ in Ireland as baptised Sikhs wear a specific dress code, including the turban, bracelet and kirpan or miniature sword, as part of their religious observance. Sikhs work in the areas of medicine, IT, business, the hotel and catering industry. Following 9/11, the (male) Sikh community in Ireland became more vulnerable to prejudice and racism because of their turban and full grown beard that often led misinformed people to equate Sikhs with followers of Bin Laden. Members of the Sikh community faced not just verbal abuse but also suffered physical attacks on the streets of Dublin and in other areas.

In 2007 an issue arose in connection with a Sikh applicant for membership of the newly instituted Garda (Police) Reserve, to which minorities were invited to apply. The applicant, who had taken part in the training process was informed just before being commissioned that he would not be allowed to wear his turban with the uniform. This ruling diverged from the established practice in the United Kingdom, and gave rise to considerable discussion. The applicant said that he would not take up the post on the Garda Reserve.

4. Definitions of tolerance/acceptance/recognition-respect in Ireland

Given the drive to promote a Gaelic and Catholic Ireland that succeeded the foundation of the state, it may be argued that the idea of tolerance was not a central term in the discourse of diversity in Ireland. It is only in recent years that the idea of tolerating or even respecting moral and cultural diversity, in addition to religious tolerance, has become part of the mainstream discourse.

33 Health Services Intercultural Guide – Accessed 22.09.2010
34 http://www.irishsikhcouncil.com/default.aspx
Individual toleration was perhaps less recognised than collective toleration of specific, mainly religious minorities. In the Republic of Ireland, these were small and not regarded as a threat. Some structures of toleration for minorities were paralleled by official and popular attitudes that prioritised certain values, whether these were deemed to be prescribed by the Natural Law, Christian/Catholic teachings or an Irish way of life, whether democratically, or more likely, traditionally determined. Thus prohibitions on birth control, censorship of books, and prohibitions on divorce and homosexuality continued later than in many other western European states. Indeed in a number of cases European courts played a role in bringing about such toleration (in the case of homosexuality for example).

While the dominant position of Catholicism has been seen as a driver of intolerance of diverse religious perspectives in Ireland, there is another view, which holds that because Ireland has traditionally been a religious society it may be more hospitable to religious minorities, and which has been expressed by members both of the Jewish and Muslim communities. While particular values were established in Irish institutions, officially promoting a particular view of Irish identity, in practice, practical accommodations were facilitated in areas of dispute.

The modernization of Ireland that has taken place over the last forty years has changed the conditions of tolerance considerably. Liberal reforms have removed most, though not all institutional restrictions on individuals. The consuming public debates through which these reforms emerged focused primarily on matters of individual, rather than groups/cultural diversity. Arguments in favour of a ‘pluralist’ society became more widely expressed from the 1960s onwards. Thus issues of tolerance were articulated in debates over sexual behaviour (sex and child-rearing outside marriage); divorce, sexual orientation and so forth. The strength of these debates was reflected in the fact that the acceptance of divorce in a referendum took two attempts, in 1986 and 1995.

But tolerance of cultural or ethnic groups remains an issue. An indication of the grounds on which discrimination is likely to be a concern can be seen in the legal prohibition on discrimination in employment and services (from both the public and private sectors) on grounds of gender (including transsexuals), marital status, family status, sexual orientation, age, disability, race (including nationality) and membership of the Traveller community, as well as religion (or lack thereof).

While education is deemed in the Constitution to be the responsibility of parents, the State finances free primary education. This was set up on a denominational basis, with the Catholic Church being the main organiser of schools at elementary and secondary level, with small numbers of Protestant and Jewish schools. Nearly all primary schools are denominational in their intake and management. As the numbers in other religious denominations grew, there was no institutional obstacle to their setting up schools supported by the state. But a different strand of education is provided through multi-denominational schools, emerging in the late 1970s to meet ‘a growing need in Irish society for schools that recognise the developing diversity of Irish life and the modern need for democratic management structures’. The role of religion in schools and especially the role of the Catholic Church in managing schools has been an increasingly contentious issue.
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35 See ECHR 1988 Norris vs. Ireland - The European Court of Human Rights ruled that the law criminalising male-to-male sex was contrary to the European Convention on Human Rights.

36 See for example the Ministers’ recommendations on school uniform policy in September 2008 after clarification was required by some schools regarding the issue of headscarves.

37 See http://www.educatetogether.ie/1_educate_together/whatiseducatetogether.html. .
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Denomination</th>
<th># National Schools</th>
<th>% National Schools</th>
<th># pupils enrolled</th>
<th>% total pupil population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roman Catholic</td>
<td>3,027</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>444,692</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church of Ireland</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>13,911</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-denominational</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8,569</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presbyterian</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdenominational</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/unknown</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methodist</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,290</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>469,193</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Lynch et al. (n/d)

Children of immigrants and non-nationals make up 10% of the primary school population (4 - 12 years old) and 8% of the post primary school population (12 - 18 years old) (ESRI, 2009). These represent many nationalities and religions.38 In this context, a new set of guidelines for Catholic secondary schools to deal with students of other faiths was circulated in September 2010 after several Catholic schools asked for clarity on how to embrace other religions while still maintaining their Catholic ethos (Donnelly, 2010).

38 Many of these children begin school with no English at all. While special English language teaching has been provided, with 2,180 teachers in 2010, this number was cut by 29% to 1,545 in 2009. (RTE, 29 June 2010)

39 The publication of the Plan was in fulfilment of a commitment given at the World Conference against Racism in Durban 2001. Ireland is one of the leading States putting a National Action Plan Against Racism in place.

In Ireland the concepts ‘tolerance’ and/or ‘toleration’ are not noticeably articulated in the debates about diversity, and these concepts are actually seldom used. Interestingly, the term ‘tolerance’ is not used in most ‘official’ documents or policies which tend to refer instead to notions such as equality, interculturalism, accommodation of differences and, most of all, ‘integration’.

Since Ireland’s migration turn in the late 1990s/early 2000s, the Irish Government has taken several measures in response to the changes and increasing diversity in Irish society. A ‘Know Racism’ campaign, to stimulate awareness of racism and respect for cultural diversity was launched in 2001, followed by the National Action Plan Against Racism (NPAR) 2005-2008, designed to provide a strategic direction for a more intercultural inclusive society in Ireland.39 Support for national and local strategies promoting greater integration in workplaces, the police service, the health service, the education system, the arts and within local authorities was provided. A 2009 report conceded that, while not everything in the NPAR was achieved, ‘there has been a substantial penetration of anti-racist policies, programmes and activities and awareness raising initiatives’.

New structures have also been put in place to address the challenges of immigration to Ireland. In April 2005, the Irish Naturalisation and Immigration Service (INIS) was established to provide a ‘one stop shop’ in relation to asylum, immigration, citizenship and visas. In 2007 the Government appointed the first Minister of State for Integration and established the Office of the Minister for Integration (OMI) to develop and co-ordinate integration policy across Government departments, agencies and services. In 2008, the OMI published ‘Migration Nation: Statement on Integration Strategy and Diversity Management’ setting out the key principles of state policy with regard to...
integration: 1) a partnership approach between the Government, non-Governmental organisations and civil society bodies, 2) strong links between integration policy and wider state social inclusion measures, strategies and initiatives, 3) a clear public policy focus that avoids the creation of parallel societies and urban ghettos, and 4) a commitment to effective local delivery mechanisms that align services to migrants with those for indigenous communities.\(^\text{40}\)

In June 2010 Mary White, the Minister of State for Equality, Integration and Human Rights announced that she is establishing a Ministerial Council on Integration to advise her directly on issues faced by migrants. The members will be migrants legally resident in Ireland for two or more years, or who have acquired Irish citizenship, and appointed for a period of five years.

Importantly, unlike in many other EU states, non-citizens enjoy political rights of various kinds in Ireland. On the one hand, all EU citizens are entitled to vote and stand in local and European elections, but voting and standing in local elections had been extended to all legally resident foreigners in Ireland independently of this EU provision. Ireland also grants reciprocal national voting rights to British citizens, which allows them to vote in national elections, but not referendums and presidential elections. Fanning and O’Boyle (2010) have recently observed that ‘high levels of immigration to Ireland have left an impact on the economy and society, but arguably less of an impact on politics’. Studies have shown that prior to both the 2004 local government elections and the 2007 general election, Irish political parties made few efforts to either attract the support of immigrant voters or to encourage immigrants to get involved in party politics.\(^\text{41}\) This ‘openness in principle’ but lack of concrete measures nevertheless translated into forty-four immigrant candidates contesting the 2009 local government elections - and four being elected.

Contemporary debates about how ‘tolerant’ Irish society is have often related to the idea that the Irish, having been for centuries a nation of emigrants, know what it feels like to be a foreigner in a new country and can not only understand immigrants’ experiences and difficulties but also empathise with their tribulations. The evidence with regard to this is mixed.

Ireland’s new ethnic and cultural ‘diversity’ has been relatively well perceived, it has been seen as an ‘enrichment’ and a ‘revitalization’ of society and overall the experience of most migrant communities is a ‘positive’ one. However, there are also concerns that there might be ‘too much’ diversity which has potentially negative implications for Irish society and ‘Irishness’. Institutional responses to issues of toleration with respect to the immigrant minorities have focused on themes of anti-racism and interculturalism. Racism has been identified as an issue in Irish society, but the extent of racism is a matter of debate. Several studies and surveys from the 1990s onwards have consistently found a significant minority who held hostile attitudes to ‘the other’. In his study of Prejudice and Tolerance in Ireland on the eve of the migration turn in Ireland, Mac Gréil (1996) found that there was a significant minority of Irish people who expressed racist views:
- 16.7% of his sample said that black people could never become good Irish people because of their basic make up;
- 10.8 % believed that black people were inferior to white people;
- Only 13.5% would welcome a Traveller into the family while 59% would not welcome Travellers as next door neighbours;
- 78.6% said they would welcome a white American into their family, while only 26.2% would welcome a black American.

In November 2006 an ESRI study explored the experience of racism and discrimination of work permit holders and asylum seekers in Ireland – it showed that:

\[^{41}\text{See Fanning et al. (2004); Fanning et al. (2007)}\]
- 35% of the migrants sampled reported experiencing harassment on the street, in other public places or on public transport.
- Among those entitled to work, insults or other forms of harassment at work was the second most common form of discrimination, with 32% of work permit holders reporting this.
- Black Africans experienced the most discrimination of all the groups studied, in the work domain, in public places, in pubs/restaurants and in public institutions.
- Asylum seekers were much more likely to report discrimination than work permit holders (McGinnity et al., 2006).

Two other reports indicate that discrimination in work and other areas is experienced particularly by sub-Saharan Africans, and that immigrant children experience bullying at school (Fundamental Rights Agency 2009; Smyth et al., 2009).

The main findings of a Special Barometer survey in relation to Ireland revealed a more positive and optimistic picture (Eurobarometer, 2009). It showed that people in Ireland tend to have a fairly diversified circle of friends and acquaintances in terms of religion, disability and sexual orientation. However, results also showed that Irish people mixed less with people from a different ethnic background than respondents from other Member States did.

In all these surveys, an important issue is that both the level and the nature of discrimination – and, conversely, of ‘tolerance’ - vary across different types of migrant or ethnic minority groups. It is clear that racism/discrimination/intolerance in Irish society affect Travellers differently from Nigerian, Chinese or Polish communities. Under current conditions the principal groups that are likely to be seen as subject to prejudice and intolerance are Travellers and immigrants of different race or colour - it could be argued that these are the two groups who contradict the ‘ideal’ of Irishness as ‘white, Catholic and settled’ the most.

A body of work argues that identity in Ireland is indeed ‘racialised’, a phenomenon (if not a process) that originates in the country’s history of colonisation, oppression, struggle and threat and has led to a necessary ‘narrow’ definition of ‘authentic’ Irishness, more ‘exclusive’ than ‘inclusive’, and which has precluded and now negates diversity among its members. As a result, on this view Ireland can only ‘accommodate’ diversity and not ‘integrate’ it within its definition of the nation or its definition of identity/Irishness; hence the lack of references to ‘multiculturalism’ in Ireland, and this transpires at the level of the institutions of the state (Tannam, 2002, p. 204).

A significant section of these debates addresses the historical treatment of refugees and asylum seekers in Ireland and especially the issue of ‘migrant (African) women flooding the country to give birth’ which led to the change in citizenship legislation in 2004 – many refer to and associate the role and impact of migrant (black) mothers ‘engendering the new Irish nation’ and the pervasive picture of the ‘black babies’ in Ireland carrying images of ‘need’ and ‘inferiority’ (Ignatiev, 1995; McVeigh, 1996; Lentin, 2004; Luibhéid, 2004).

5. Concluding Remarks

A context and driver for recent developments in toleration and of the discourse of toleration, and one whose importance it is hardly possible to overestimate, has been the evolution of the peace process between Protestants and Catholics on the island, and in Northern Ireland in particular, as well as between Northern Ireland and the Republic, and the Republic and the United Kingdom. A second context and driver has been the area of sexual morality, from the increasing acceptance of unmarried
mothers, to the admission of divorce and the tolerance of lesbian and gay sexuality, up to the recognition of civil partnerships in 2011. Both of these spheres otherwise fall outside the remit of this project report, which is to deal specifically with religious and cultural diversity in the Republic of Ireland.

Ireland’s experience of large-scale immigration and cultural diversity began later than in most other west European countries – taking place only in the last twenty years – and immigrant minorities still represent a relatively new phenomenon. In 1996 Ireland reached its migration ‘turning point’; a decade later, in 2006, non-Irish nationals represented approximately 10% of the population. While this change has already posed certain issues of integration and accommodation, many of the claims and challenges deriving from cultural diversity have yet to arise.

The pattern of diversity emerging in Ireland is distinctive in a number of ways. Its long history as a country of emigration and recent transformation into a destination of choice for immigrants distinguish it from most EU member states. Ireland has never been a colonial power; its migrants do not come from countries it had previously occupied, although some come from regions in which Irish missionaries were active, arguably participating in the western colonisation enterprise. Ireland did not have a guest worker programme in the 1950s and 60s, and therefore did not go through a process of coming to terms with the fact of a permanent migrant population that this entailed. As immigration is still a recent phenomenon in Ireland, the main focus is still on ‘newcomers’ or ‘new communities’ rather than second and third generations. The great bulk of migrants comes from within the European Union and includes a significant contingent of returning Irish migrants. The newcomers are predominantly of working age, and tend to be well educated and highly skilled.

It is also notable that increased immigration coincided with a period of economic prosperity, so that economic competition between the native population and migrants may have been less evident than under the conditions of recession that later came to prevail, and less liable to arouse fears of the potentially negative impact of the newcomers. These factors may account for the fact that Ireland has not seen the emergence of any real right wing, anti-immigrant party, or indeed any significant political campaign or protest against immigrants as a reaction to its recent large-scale immigration. This is not to discount the evidence for significant underlying levels of racial discrimination.

It is noteworthy, also, that there has not been a strong emphasis on the ‘security’ issue connected with migration and diversity, unlike in other countries (UK, France for example), by either political parties or Government. Nor has ‘Muslim radicalisation’ come to the fore (so far at least) in Ireland. As we have seen, the Muslim community in Ireland is quite different in terms of origins and socio-demographic composition from that in other EU countries. This, and the fact that the Irish Government and institutions have sought to establish a dialogue with the Muslim community and have allowed for some accommodation of religious practices might be seen as the two main reasons for the absence of either major claims or problems with regard to Islam in Ireland. Some issues concerning the hijab in schools have arisen, but these have usually been locally accommodated.

Among the new religious minorities, Sikhs have encountered some difficulties and lack of understanding regarding the observance of their religious practices; this made the headlines in 2007 and 2008. On both occasions, the problems concerned the wearing of the turban – both gave rise to considerable debate, and neither was accommodated. But perhaps the most recurrent challenge to principles of toleration and acceptance arise with respect to Ireland’s indigenous cultural minority, the

43 Nearly three quarters of persons from the EU 15 (excluding Ireland and the UK) are educated to third level, and the equivalent figure for persons from the rest of the world is over 50% (CSO, 2008)
44 One anti-immigration voice is the Immigration Control Platform (ICP), a single-issue political grouping which has run candidates in the 2002 and 2007 Irish general elections. It is not registered as a political party; its candidates ran as independents in the 2007 Irish general election, nationally, its three representatives receiving less than 0.1% of the total votes cast
Travellers, in connection with their status as an ethnic group, the issue of halting sites and educational provision.

Ireland has had to generate immigration and integration policies against a background of rapid change, limited experience, and, until recently, a largely monocultural society. There was no official ‘planning process’ regarding immigration, and it has been argued that, initially, and for a number of years, Ireland lacked a coherent integration policy and that ‘the dominant economic ethos of laissez faire translated into an amalgam of piece-meal policy statements and reactive policy responses to immediate issues’ and to a certain attitude of ‘welcome if you fit our national interest’ (Boucher, 2008, p. 22).

The language of toleration has not been prominent in discussions of diversity. From a historical context in which the toleration of diversity as permission was seen as suspect, Ireland has evolved to a situation in which ‘mere’ toleration as permission, or even respect, are seen as inadequate responses to diversity. Rather the official emphasis has been on integration of diverse religious and cultural communities now present in Ireland, framed in terms of ‘interculturalism’, defined in Ireland by the National Consultative Committee on Racism and Interculturalism (2006) as the ‘development of strategy, policy and practices that promote interaction, understanding, respect and integration between different cultures and ethnic groups on the basis that cultural diversity is a strength that can enrich society, without glossing over issues such as racism’ (NCCRI, 2006, p. 29). This emphasis on interculturalism as a strategy for integration and social cohesion again distinguishes Ireland from other EU countries whose focus has been on either assimilation or multiculturalism. Yet the development of institutional and practical toleration, as well as attitudes of toleration, has been mixed. It may be speculated whether the late arrival of immigrant cultural diversity will or will not allow new approaches to tolerance, and lessons from other countries’ experience to be applied.
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