



APPLYING TOLERANCE INDICATORS:

ANNEX to the report on POLITICAL TOLERANCE FOR NATIVE MINORITIES

2013/19 A5. New Knowledge.Applying Tolerance Indicators

Ayhan Kaya, Istanbul Bilgi University





© 2013 Ayhan Kaya, Marko Hajdinjak, Anna Triandafyllidou, Hara Kouki, Katarzyna Chlewińska, Michał Buchowski, Alina Mungiu-Pippidi, Adriana Iordache and Hans-Ingvar Roth

This text may be downloaded only for personal research purposes. Additional reproduction for other purposes, whether in hard copies or electronically, requires the consent of the author(s), editor(s). If cited or quoted, reference should be made to the full name of the author(s), editor(s), the title, the research project, the year and the publisher.

ACCEPT PLURALISM Research Project,

Tolerance, Pluralism and Social Cohesion: Responding to the Challenges of the 21st Century in Europe European Commission, DG Research
Seventh Framework Programme Social Sciences and Humanities
Grant agreement no. 243837
www.accept-pluralism.eu
www.eui.eu/RSCAS
email: anna.triandafyllidou@eui.eu

Available from the EUI institutional repository CADMUS http://cadmus.eui.eu
Published by the European University Institute
Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies

Via dei Roccettini, 9

50014 San Domenico di Fiesole - Italy

Disclaimer: The information and views set out in this publication are those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the European Commission. Neither the European Commission nor any person acting on behalf of the European Commission is responsible for the use which might be made of the following information. A great deal of additional information on the European Union is available on the Internet. It can be accessed through the Europa server (http://europa.eu).

ANNEX TO CLUSTER REPORT:

POLITICAL TOLERANCE FOR NATIVE MINORITIES

Indicators presented:

Indicator 6.1 Ethnic and religious tolerance

Indicator 6.3 Existence of provisions for minority candidates at the party level

Indicator 6.5 Minority mobilization and claims-making

Indicator 6.6 Representation of minority politicians in parliament

Countries covered and teams responsible for the country assessments:

Bulgaria: Marko Hajdinjak, IMIR

Greece: Anna Triandafyllidou and Hara Kouki, EUI

Poland: Katarzyna Chlewińska and Michał Buchowski, Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań

Romania: Alina Mungiu-Pippidi and Adriana Iordache, SAR

Sweden: Hans-Ingvar Roth, Stockholm University

Turkey: Ayhan Kaya, Istanbul Bilgi University

Detailed Comparative Country Overview for Indicator 6.1 Ethnic and religious tolerance

Country	Score	Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.1 Ethnic and religious tolerance
Bulgaria	Medium	Time period for assessment: 1997-2012 Level of assessment: national, local
		There is only one official institution where minority communities are represented as organised collective actors. The National Council for Cooperation on Ethnic and Integration Issues was established in December 1997 (under the previous name National Council for Ethnic and Demographic Issues). The Council is a consultative and coordinating body without real administrative or political power. Its main task is to facilitate cooperation between government institutions and minority organisation, and assist the government in the preparation and implementation of policies dealing with ethnic and integration issues. The Council is the main body in charge of coordination and supervision of all activities carried out in the frame of the "Decade of Roma Inclusion 2005-2015." It monitors if policies of equal rights and development for all citizens are respected, and assists the preservation and development of culture, identity, religion, language, tradition and cultural heritage of Bulgarian minorities. An unofficial arrangement that was valid between 1997 and 2009 was that the chairperson of the Council was of minority origin. The current government has abandoned this practice and quite controversially, since 2009, the Council has been chaired by the Minister of Internal Affairs (ethnic Bulgarian).
		In 2012, the Council had 67 members and included representatives of Turkish, Roma, Aromanian/Vlach, Karakachan, Armenian, Jewish, and refugee communities, as well as of numerous NGOs active in the field of interethnic relations and minorities. The Council is often criticised for not fulfilling even its relatively modestly defined tasks and purpose.
		There are also Regional and Municipal Councils for Cooperation on Ethnic and Integration Issues. Their role is similar to the role of the National Council, but limited to the respective region or municipality in which they are located.
		Sources: Council of Ministers (2011); Hajdinjak, Kosseva, and Zhelyazkova (2012); and Vice Prime Minister (2012).
Greece	Low	Time period for assessment: 2013 with consideration of the past 90 years (since 1923) Level of assessment: national
		There is no provision for the official representation of native minorities or religious minority groups in the country. There is the Consultative Committee of the Turkish Minority in Thrace (re activated in 1997), the political organ of the only recognized minority in Greece, but this is a non-official umbrella body for a series of associations, which, however, remain unrecognised by the Greek state.
		Greece does not recognize the minority status of other communities, mainly of the Slavic speaking Macedonian minority in the north-western Greece and of the relatively large Roma population. However, whether a State officially recognizes a minority or not, is not sufficient grounds upon which to conclude whether it respects its obligations toward minority populations.

Country	Score	Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.1 Ethnic and religious tolerance
		The only officially recognised minority of Greece is a religious one: the Muslims of western Thrace (in the north-western border with Turkey), who are protected by the Lausanne Treaty of 1923. They are Greek citizens with special constitutional and religious rights (Sharia). It is a small but politically significant population of about 120,000 Muslims (less than 0.2% of the total population). Comprising individuals of Turkish origin, Gypsies (Roma), and Slav-speaking Pomaks, they have transformed since the 1950s into a minority with ethnic consciousness mobilizing to assert a common Turkish identity. Discriminatory and exclusionary policies on behalf of the Greek state were abandoned in 1991 in the name of an approach guided by 'legal equality – equal citizenship'. Though much attenuated since, past divisions and discrimination persist also in institutional forms. Sources: Treaty of Lausanne (1923); Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Information Dpt, Muslim Minority of Thrace (1999). Trippdafyllidou and Apagnestou (2008), and Trippdafyllidou and Kokkali (2010).
		(1999); Tsitselikis (2012); Triandafyllidou and Anagnostou (2008); and Triandafyllidou and Kokkali (2010).
Poland	Medium	Time period for assessment: 1989-2012 Level of assessment: national Until 1989, minority issues barely existed due to their size and the communist authorities' strategies. The Communist Constitution granted non-discrimination, but in practice, minorities could only nurture their traditions using state-controlled 'cultural associations'. Ethnic issues were perceived as threatening state interests, and, therefore, were strictly controlled and not pronounced. It seems that this mode of thinking lingers in some rightwing political circles to the present day, although its contemporary advocates refer rather to nationalistic historical traditions and thinkers. After 1989, minorities started to establish their own associations (mainly cultural and social, not political), which was their main form of representation in the public sphere. Both the will to democratise the political order and EU demands pressed policy makers to accept liberal laws concerning religious freedoms as well as ethnic and national minorities' presence in the public sphere. Political liberalisation has encouraged minorities to become visible, but not all attempts are welcomed by significant parts of the majority society. Tolerance is declared yet it has little reflection in everyday practice. Sources: Łodziński (1994); and Łodziński (2005).

Country	Score	Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.1 Ethnic and religious tolerance
Romania	Medium	Time period for assessment: 1990-2012
		Level of assessment: national
		The Romanian government has a Department for Interethnic Relations established by Government Decision no. $111/2005$. This institution focuses on the legislative and institutional building process for the protection of ethnic minorities in Romania and on building ethnic diversity by consolidating and widening support for a multicultural society.
		It performs the following tasks within the legislative process: elaborates and sends for approval to the Government strategies in order to maintain, develop and express the identity of persons belonging to national minorities; elaborates draft laws and other normative documents from its field of activity; advises draft laws and other normative documents that are related to the rights and duties of persons belonging to national minorities; and monitors the application of internal and international normative documents related to the protection of persons belonging to national minorities. However, research has suggested that even though existing legislation has established numerous institutions protecting the rights of minorities, this has not had a significant impact on policies in the field.
		In effect, the quantitative increase in the number of government agencies that have responsibilities among minorities has not yet led to a significant increase in the consequences of government policy in this area, given that these institutions often lack a clear assignment of functions and excuse their lack of activity by appealing to their very general responsibilities.
		Sources: Centrul de Resurse pentru Diversitate Etnoculturala, http://www.edrc.ro/docs/docs/politici/031-166.pdf
Sweden	High	Time period for assessment: 1977-2012 Level of assessment: national, local
		In 1977, Sweden recognised the Sámi people as an indigenous people. The 2007 UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples was endorsed by Sweden, and in 2010 the special status of the Swedish Sámi people was constitutionally recognised for the first time in the Instrument of Government.
		Already in 1993 the popularly elected Swedish Sámi Parliament (Sametinget) was established in order to grant the Sámi people cultural autonomy. Today, the parliament is considered to be the main body that ensures Sámi

Country	Score	Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.1 Ethnic and religious tolerance
		self-determination. However, the status of the Sámi Parliament is an administrative authority under the Swedish
		Government. The parliament thus has two roles: it is an administrative authority whose tasks are strictly regulated
		by law, and it is a popularly elected parliament representing the Sámi people. The Sámi Parliament has only
		administrative power in particular areas, and no political power. Sweden has recognized the Sámi language as
		an official minority language (2000) and this recognition implies certain rights concerning education in schools
		and at universities, as well as the right to communicate with official authorities in one's native language in areas where the Sámi people have lived for a long time (the landscape Norrland).
		Since the Sámi Parliament's establishment, its limited mandate, institutional arrangements and organizational
		structure have been heavily criticized by the Sami Parliament itself, Sami organizations, academics, NGOs and the UN for not fulfilling the Sami people's right to self-determination as an indigenous people. The Sami
		Parliament's dual role –mentioned above has been particularly challenged.
		Tarilaneni 3 dodi Tole Internolled above has been particularly challenged.
		Sources: Josefsen (2007); Lawrence and Mörkenstam (2012); and Robbins (2011)
Turkey	Low	Time period for assessment: 1923-2012
		Level of assessment: national
		There is no legal regulation in Turkey permitting officially recognized minorities and others to represent
		themselves in the National Parliament. The Turkish Constitution outlaws the establishment of ethno-religious based
		political parties. Turks take pride in the regime of tolerance implemented by the Ottoman Millet System in a way
		that has revitalized the boundaries among religious communities. The same regime of tolerance was embraced by the modern Turkish Republic even though the Kemalist state was intended to be rupture from the Ottoman Empire.
		The regime of tolerance, which has been essentialized by the Turks, is essentially a myth; this myth of tolerance
		has been instrumental by the Republicanist state elite in order to conceal the mistreatment of ethno-cultural and
		religious minorities other than the majority of Sunni-Muslim-Turks.
		At the national level, tolerance towards ethno-cultural and religious minorities is not very high; however, the
		situation is different at the local level as the European integration process has contributed to easing tensions
		between the majority and the minorities.
		Sources: Gülalp (1996); Güven (2005); Kaya and Tarhanlı (2005); and Oran (2004).

Detailed Comparative Country Overview for Indicator 6.3 Existence of provisions for minority candidates at the party level

Country	Score	Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.3 Existence of provisions for minority candidates at the party level
Bulgaria	Medium	Time period for assessment: 2001-2012 Level of assessment: national, local
		There are no special provisions by political parties (e.g. in terms of selecting candidates in specific electoral districts or at the national level) for ensuring that some ethnic or religious minority candidates will be elected and participate in government. There are numerous political parties which represent minority interests — most of their candidates either on general or local elections are of minority origin. Some of the so-called national parties place minority candidates on their lists in municipalities, where most of the population is of minority origin, however, rather than being motivated by a genuine concern for guaranteeing proper minority representation. this is often a political strategy that aims to decrease the election result of minority parties.
		Sources: Hajdinjak (2008).
Greece	Medium	Time period for assessment: 2013 with consideration of the past 90 years (since 1923) Level of assessment: national
		There is no legal provision for comprising minority candidates in national political parties. Nonetheless, all major parties nominate candidates from the Muslim minority (which is the only recognised minority group in the country) in their national election lists. This is not the case with the Slavic speaking Macedonian minority and the Roma.
		In 1989, Dr Sadik Ahmet won a seat in parliament as an independent Turkish candidate. In 1990, he was found guilty of provoking discord by claiming the existence of a Turkish minority in Greece. In the 1993 elections, the Greek Parliament introduced a 3 per cent nationwide threshold to enter parliament, an electoral percentage too high for the minority to reach it, effectively precluding the election of independent representatives of the minority. The Muslims of Thrace participate actively in Greek political life and a good number of them are members of political parties. Parliamentary representatives are elected on the ballot of all national political parties even though there is no relevant legislation. Their numbers range between one and three, yet so far, no one among them has held any government post. In almost all the successive Parliaments from 1927 onwards, the

Country	Score	Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.3 Existence of provisions for minority candidates at the party level
		Muslim deputies (usually 2) were elected and participated actively in parliamentary work. Presently (2012), there are three minority representatives in the Greek Parliament.
		Sources: Triandafyllidou and Anagnostou (2008); Triandafyllidou and Kokkali (2010); Ministry of Foreign Affairs (2010); and Western Thrace Minority University Graduates Association (2010).
Poland	Medium	Time period for assessment: 2012 Level of assessment: national, local
		Due to their numerical insignificance and low social visibility, minorities are still not seen as a significant part of the public sphere. At the same time, contemporary Parliamentary Election Law contains preferences for registered electoral committees of national and ethnic minorities recognized by the state - 'ethnic' organisations' candidates do not have to meet the requirement of crossing a 5% threshold of votes nationwide in order to be elected to parliament.
		At the local level, regulations do not provide any preference for organizations or persons belonging to national/ethnic minorities - this means that only in areas where minorities live in large clusters, representation of minorities in local government is possible.
		Sources: Nijakowski (2005); Łodziński (2005); and Jasińska-Kania and Łodziński (2009).
Romania	Low	Time period for assessment: 1990-2012 Level of assessment: national
		Our research did not identify any specific provisions in the legal framework for political parties, requiring them to ensure that candidates belonging to an ethnic or religious minority be elected and participate in Parliament.
Sweden	Low	Time period for assessment: 2012 Level of assessment: national, local
		There are no special provisions by political parties for ensuring that Sámi candidates will be elected and

Country	Score	Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.3 Existence of provisions for minority candidates at the party level
		participate in politics at the national level. Moreover, there are no political parties traditionally represented in the national elections running for seats in the elections to the Sámi Parliament (in contrast to Norway, where the traditional parties participating in the Norwegian national elections also participate in the elections to the Sámi Parliament). In local elections, however, there are special provisions by certain political parties that aim at ensuring the elections of Sámi candidates in municipal councils. Little research is done on this subject. Sources: Runblom (1995).
Tumbrasi	Medium	
Turkey	Medium	Time period for assessment: 2012 Level of assessment: national, local
		Even though the ethno-cultural and religious diversity of the Turkish society is not politically tolerated by the state institutions in general, political parties recognize this diversity. They do so because it 'pays off.'
		At the end of the 1980s, political parties which represented the Kurdish identity and defended the Kurdish cultural and political rights began to enter the formal political sphere. Under the Özal government, the abolition of the articles of Law 765 of the Turkish Penal Code, which restricted the freedom of expression, laid the ground for the formation of legal ethnic and religious parties. In addition, departing from their alliances with the leftist parties of the 1970s, the Kurdish political and intellectual elite abandoned the old communist slogans, the socialist economic programmes, and the aim of forming an independent Kurdistan, and replaced them with claims for cultural rights for the Kurdish people and the democratic consolidation of the democratic republic. During the 1990s, the attempts of the Kurdish political elite to represent Kurdish cultural and political rights by participating in national politics through political parties were undermined by closure cases in the Constitutional Court and public debates on the legitimacy of a party that was founded on the basis of the recognition of ethnic identity. As for the officially recognized minorities such as the Greeks (Rums), Jews and Armenians, there is not really a great chance for the visibility of minority origin candidates in general elections. However, in local elections there are quite a few candidates, as was noted in the last local elections.
		Sources: Öniş (2007); and Jongerden and Akkaya (2011).

Detailed Comparative Country Overview for Indicator 6.5 Minority mobilization and claims-making

Country	Score	Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.5 Minority mobilization and claims-making
Bulgaria	Low	Time period for assessment: 1991-2012
		Level of assessment: national
		Bulgarian Constitution (Art. 11 (4)): "There shall be no political parties on ethnic, racial or religious lines, nor parties which seek the violent seizure of state power." The 1990 Political Parties Act confirmed this restriction (Art. 2): "A political party may not be established when it is based on a confessional or an ethnic principle." However, the current Law on Political parties, passed in 2005, contains no such article. The Constitutional prohibition of ethnic parties is on the one hand undemocratic and discriminatory, as it potentially denies a large number of the Bulgarian citizens a proper political representation. On the other hand, it forces political parties to work beyond the confines of a particular ethnic community.
		In practice, minorities (especially Turks and Pomaks, and to a lesser extent Roma) are active participants in politics and can freely put forward their political claims and grievances, as long as their political associations remain open to people from other ethnic and religious communities. The main (but not the only) political party representing minority interests is the Movement for Rights and Freedoms – always represented in Parliament since 1990 and three times member of a governing coalition.
		However, it is exceptionally difficult to give one uniform score to this indicator. In addition to conflicting evaluations of legislative framework and practical situation, we need to note and assess the perceptions of the society regarding the minority claims-making. While seen as fully legitimate for the larger part of the assessed period, especially after 2008, the attitudes towards political mobilisation of minorities are becoming increasingly intolerant and can be scored as LOW.
		Sources: Constitution of Bulgaria (1991); Hajdinjak (2008); and Hajdinjak, Kosseva, and Zhelyazkova (2012).
Greece	Medium	Time period for assessment: 2013 with consideration of the past 90 years (since 1923) Level of assessment: national
		There are no formal mechanisms that exclude minority mobilization and claims making, but legal provisions and an α

Country	Score	Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.5 Minority mobilization and claims-making
		priori unreceptive atmosphere impede equal political participation. The Muslim's minority political claims refer to
		recognition of its ethnic (Turkish) character and cultural claims to the improvement of the minority education issue.
		The 'Party of Friendship, Equality and Peace' represents the interests of the Turkish minority in Thrace. Aspida-Rom', is a political party established in 2006 to campaign for the rights of the Roma. Those claiming minority Macedonian identity are represented by the Rainbow political party. However, those parties do not have seats in the national parliament, as minorities do not have the possibility of being represented in parliament through their own party and/or with an independent MP due to the introduction in 1993 of the 3% threshold so as to enter the parliament (this means nearly 300.000 votes, whereas for instance Muslim minority in Thrace is estimated at about 120.000). Moreover, there have been no minority representatives in any ministries or in other administrative bodies that would provide minority groups direct involvement in policy making.
		At the same time, national authorities have placed legal restrictions on the names of associations involving certain ethnic minorities. The courts did not allow the registration of nonprofit associations of nationals who claimed to be of Macedonian ethnic origin, charging that the founders of such groups were seeking to undermine the country's territorial integrity and cultural identity. Similarly, the courts have refused to register associations that included the term "Turkish" in their names. For instance, the Turkish Union of Xanthi, founded in 1936, was outlawed by Greek authorities three times, decision approbated in 2005 by the Supreme Court of Greece on the basis that 'it constitutes an attempt to affirm the presence of a Turkish minority in Greece'. In 2008, a decision of the European Court of Human Rights ordered the re-legalization of the association and convicted Greece of violating articles 6 and 11; however, the Greek authorities have refused to re-legalize it.
		Sources: Human Rights of Minorities (2008); Minority Rights Group International (2011); Triandafyllidou and Anagnostou (2008); Psychogiopoulou (2008), European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (2004), and Greek Helsinki Monitor & Minority Rights Group-Greece (1999).
Poland	Medium	Time period for assessment: 2012 Level of assessment: national, local
		In comparison to most other European countries, national and ethnic minorities in Poland are numerically insignificant; Silesians are by far the largest declared minority, followed by the German minority. Migrant communities are small and are practically absent in political life.

Country	Score	Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.5 Minority mobilization and claims-making
		The largest minority group declared in the National Census of 2011 – the Silesians - is not recognised neither as a national nor an ethnic minority. Meanwhile, they are politically active, they have their organisations and leaders, and they are (relatively) present in local and national public discourses. As all Polish citizens, Silesians use their right to organise themselves, however, this is paradox that Silesians are not recognised by the authorities as a minority in legal terms, even though they claim they are.
		Assessing the national level is hardly possible as minorities are very small in numbers and ill-organised; thus, only a local perspective can be considered.
		Sources: Łodziński (2004); and Nijakowski (2008).
Romania	Medium	Time period for assessment: 1990-2012 Level of assessment: national Romania has established the formal mechanisms allowing members of minority groups to participate in politics and to formulate policies of interest to them. However, in certain cases, the general atmosphere discourages such initiatives, particularly when they address topics such as territorial autonomy. In 2012, the draft law on the status of national minorities has been intensely discussed by politicians from different spectrums. One of its main provisions establishes an Authority for Interethnic Relations which would replace the current Department for Interethnic Relations. The new authority would be under the control of the Parliament and have more stability. Another provision refers to granting cultural autonomy to the Hungarian minority. The draft is strongly supported by members of the parliamentary group formed by representatives of the ethnic minorities in Romania and by the Democratic Union of Hungarians in Romania. However, Prime Minister Victor Ponta declared in May 2012 that his party supports the draft if the provision granting cultural autonomy is excluded. The draft law is currently being re-examined by the committee on human minority rights within the Parliament. Sources: Ziare.com, https://www.cdep.ro/pls/proiecte/upl_pck.proiect?cam=2&idp=6778

Country	Score	Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.5 Minority mobilization and claims-making
Sweden	High	Time period for assessment: 2012
		Level of assessment: national, local
		The Sámi people have a long history of ethno-political mobilisation. The Sámi political action stems both from the popularly elected Sámi Parliament, and from several interest organisations, of which the National Union of the Swedish Sámi (Svenska Samernas Riksförbund, SSR) is the most powerful. In these capacities, the Sámi take part in political life. Their political claims and grievances are considered to be as valid as any other political position, however, their rights as an indigenous people are constantly neglected and they are most often treated like any other interest group competing on the same land areas or as an ethnic/national minority "at the same level" as national minorities such as the Swedish Finns.
		The government imperative to consider the rights of other users of land, transforms the issue from one concerning indigenous rights, to one concerning the importance of balancing between different interests. Historically, this has been a forceful argument in order to justify continued limitations of Sámi rights, and a continued paternalistic policy but it seems just as vivid in contemporary Swedish politics.
		Although the Sámi are free to take part in political life in a way similar to the rest of the population and they can mobilize on the basis of their identity, their situation can still be interpreted as a specific case of the classical problem in democratic theory: the problem of permanent minorities. Permanent minorities run the risk of being without influence on decisions made by the majority, even when the decisions severely damage the minority's traditional way of life or culture. If there are differences on fundamental issues, for instance, concerning the use of land and natural resources, the Sámi will always be outvoted.
		Sources: Allard (2006); Lantto (2000); and Lantto and Mörkenstam (2013).
Turkey	Medium	Time period for assessment: 1923-2012 Level of assessment: national, local
		Kurdish political claims reached their climax in the 2000s in parallel with their active mobilization in local and national politics. However, the 10 % threshold still exists in the national elections for all political parties. The most recent stage of Kurdish nationalism could be regarded as a period of civil disobedience initiated by the PKK and other Kurdish political actors. Kurds have undergone a process of reconciliation with the Turkish state as far as

Country	Score	Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.5 Minority mobilization and claims-making
Country	Score	unresolved murders, education in the mother tongue, civil rights, and coming to terms with the past are concerned. Since 2008, the Kurds have been taking to the courts the unresolved murders committed by the para-military forces in order to uncover those responsible. This process of reconcilication has developed in parallel with the judiciary process of the Ergenekon Plot, which was tied by Kurdish intellectuals such as Ahmet Türk to the Kurdish question. Kurds have been very active in renaming their children, their streets, villages, parks and urban quarters in accordance with the Kurdish nationalist mythology within the framework of historical reconciliation. The naming controversy underlines how formal nationalism of the state and minority nationalism mutually condition one another. The numerous interventions of the Turkish state to regulate and control the private lives of the Kurds have given new meaning to and politicized many cultural expressions, as in the case of Kurdish naming. In a way, the official discourse on Turkishness has influenced the ways in which Kurdish activists conceived Kurdish identity and pushed them to define it in more exclusivist political terms. For instance, thanks to the claims of the CHP's new leader, Kemal Kılıçdaroğlu, the name of the Mustafa Muğlalı Military Barracks was changed in March 2011. Mustafa Muğlalı was a general who killed 33 unarmed Kurdish villagers in the Özalp district of the city of Van in 1943. Interestingly, the name was given to the military barracks in 2004, when Turkey made remarkable reforms of democratization within the framework of European integration. Similarly, an AKP deputy, Mehmet Metiner, proposed changing the name of Sabiha Gökçen Airport in Istanbul because it is believed to be an insult to the Kurds and their suffering. Sabiha Gökçen Airport in Istanbul because it is believed to be an insult to the Kurds and their suffering. Sabiha Gökçen Marcha dapeted daughter of Mustafa Kemal Atatürk; she was the first female pilot and believed to have dr
		publicly announced his apology on 23 November 2011 for what happened in Dersim/Tunceli in 1937-1938. Despite the fact that there is a growing tension between the mainstream political parties and the Kurdish nation

Detailed Comparative Country Overview for Indicator 6.6 Representation of minority politicians in parliament

High /	Time period for assessment: 2009-2012 Level of assessment: national Politicians from the Turkish minority are fully represented in parliament (28 out of 240 MPs, or 11.7%, compared to
Low	Politicians from the Turkish minority are fully represented in parliament (28 out of 240 MPs, or 11.7%, compared to
	8.8% of their share of the Bulgarian population). All other minorities are severely underrepresented. There are no quotas or reserved seats for minorities and their parliamentary representation is based solely on the election result.
	Sources: Hajdinjak, Kosseva, Zhelyazkova (2012); and Web site of the National Assembly of the Republic of Bulgaria.
High	Time period for assessment: 2013 with consideration of the past 90 years (since 1923)
Tilgii	Level of assessment: national
	Politicians of the only recognised native minority in Greece, the Muslims of Western Thrace, are fully represented in parliament. Their representation at the most senior government levels has not been achieved. Other minorities are absent.
	Since 1920s, in every Greek election the Muslim minority of Thrace has given forth at least one deputy. Minorities have lost the chance of being represented in parliament through their own party and/or with an independent MP due to the introduction in 1993 of the 3% threshold so as to enter the parliament. However, even if part of national political parties, minority deputies represent only the minority and not their entire electoral constituency. On the other hand, the mainstream majority tends to see the electorate and the minority deputies as an unavoidable but also undesirable consequence of political rights and citizenship. In the current Parliament (2012), three Muslim MPs have been elected.
	Sources: Ministry of Foreign Affairs (2010); Western Thrace Minority University Graduates Association (2010); Tsitselikis (2012); and Triandafyllidou and Anagnostou (2008).
	High

Country	Score	Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.6 Representation of minority politicians in parliament
Poland	Low	Time period for assessment: 2012
		Level of assessment: national, local
		Despite the existing electoral preference (minority candidates do not have to meet the requirement of crossing a 5% threshold of votes nationwide in order to be elected), only the German minority was able to get more of a symbolic representation in the Polish Parliament (1 or 2 members of Parliament out of 460).
		The most problematic aspect of political representation of minorities in Poland is the issue of formal recognition of the group as a legitimate national or ethnic minority.
		The case of the Silesians (the biggest unrecognized minority in the country) serves is an example of post-transformational tensions between the policy and practice of national unity and the grassroots efforts of people in some regions to change the dominant cultural schemata. Attempts at implementing constitutionally granted entitlements to cultural visibility and the execution of civic rights are perceived either as unsubstantiated and unnecessary demands of an insignificant number of people, or as a result of the overambitious goals of some activists that manipulate history and people. They are presented as presenting a threat to a homogeneous society.
		Sources: Łodziński (2005); and Wódz and Wódz (1999).
Romania	High	Time period for assessment: 1990-2012 Level of assessment: national
		Decree no. 92/1990 provided that minority groups, whose organizations cannot obtain the necessary votes to secure a seat in the Parliament, will have the right to one mandate in the Chamber of Deputies. However, currently there are no more seats reserved for minorities, unless their candidates can meet a symbolic threshold. As of 2004 this threshold is 10% of the average number of valid votes required for the election of one deputy on national level. Nineteen minority organizations have passed this threshold in the current legislature.
		The biggest and most popular party representing the interest of an ethnic minority in Romania is the Democratic Union of Hungarians in Romania which currently has 20 seats in the Chamber of Deputies, and 7 seats in the Senate.
		The other ethnic minorities are represented in the Chamber of Deputies, by the following parties with one representative each:

Country	Score	Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.6 Representation of minority politicians in parliament
		The "Pro-Europe" Roma Party
		Armenians Union of Romania (UAR)
		Democratic Forum of Germans in Romania (FDGR)
		Union of Poles in Romania "Dom Polski" (UPR)
		Association of Italians in Romania - RO.AS.IT
		Democratic Union of Slovaks and Czechs in Romania
		Hellenic Union of Romania
		Democratic Union of Turkish-Muslim Tartars in Romania
		Union of Ukrainians in Romania
		Cultural Union of Rutens in Romania
		Turkish Democratic Union of Romania
		Community of Lipovan Russians in Romania
		Association League of Albanians in Romania (ALAR)
		Union of Bulgarians in Banat — Romania
		Union of Serbs in Romania
		Jews' Communities Federation in Romania
		Thus, ethnic minorities are fully represented in at least one of the Chambers of the parliament, and the Hungarian minority (the biggest ethnic minority) is currently represented in both chambers of the Parliament.
		Sources: Decree-Law of 14th March 1990; Department for Interethnic Relations; Senate; and the Chamber of Deputies.
Sweden	Low	Time period for assessment: 2012
		Level of assessment: national, local
		Politicians of Sámi background are not represented or severely underrepresented in parliament. However, ethnic classifications of the Swedish population are not allowed (as well as religious and political registrations) which makes the question of fair representation in politics difficult to answer.

Country	Score	Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.6 Representation of minority politicians in parliament
		Even though there is a lack of knowledge in this respect, one can safely argue that there is a representation problem given the lack of interest in vital Sámi questions (and the lack of high profile politicians with Sámi background).
		On a local level, however, the Sámi people may be present or even fully represented in municipal councils. Little research has been done in this field.
		Sources: Josefsen (2007).
Turkey	Low	Time period for assessment: 2012 Level of assessment: national, local
		There is no representation as such because Turkey is a difference-blind republican country. However, this situation is being challenged by the Kurds as the difference-blindness of the Turkish state is discriminating against the Kurds. In practice, Kurds are now trying to get into the national parliament through independent candidates as there is still a 10 % threshold in the general elections for the political parties to get into the parliament. After the independents get into the parliament, if they reach 20 MPs then they can establish their own group there. This is what the current Kurdish-origin Peace and Democracy Party is attempting to achieve in Parliament.
		Sources: Jongerden and Akkaya (2011).

FURTHER READINGS AND COUNTRY REPORTS

Native Minority Claims: The Search for Acceptance

By Ayhan Kaya, Istanbul Bilgi University (2012)

Download your copy from: http://www.accept-

pluralism.eu/Dissemination/EuropeanPolicyBriefs.aspx

ACCEPT PLURALISM Tolerance Indicators Toolkit

By Anna Triandafyllidou, European University Institute (2013)

Download your copy from: http://www.accept-

<u>pluralism.eu/Research/ProjectReports/ToleranceIndicatorsToolkit/ToleranceIndicators.aspx</u>

Tolerance and Cultural Diversity Discourses in Bulgaria

By Marko Hajdinjak and Maya Kosseva with Antonina Zhelyazkova, IMIR (2012)

Download your copy from: http://cadmus.eui.eu/handle/1814/23257

Tolerance and Cultural Diversity Discourses and Practices in Greece

By Anna Triandafyllidou and Hara Kouki, European University Institute (2012)

Download your copy from: http://cadmus.eui.eu/handle/1814/23261

Tolerance of Cultural Diversity in Poland and Its Limitations

by Michał Buchowski and Katarzyna Chlewińska, Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań (2012)

Download your copy from: http://cadmus.eui.eu/handle/1814/24381

Tolerance and Cultural Diversity Discourses in Romania

By Sinziana-Elena Poiana, Ioana Lupea, Irina-Madalina Doroftei and Alina Mungiu-Pippidi, SAR (2012)

Download your copy from: http://cadmus.eui.eu/handle/1814/24380

Tolerance and cultural diversity in Sweden

By Hans-Ingvar Roth and Fredrik Hertzberg, Stockholm University (2012)

Download your copy from: http://www.accept-

pluralism.eu/Research/ProjectReports/NewKnowledge.aspx

Comprehensive Report on Turkey: The Myth of Tolerance

By Ayhan Kaya, Istanbul Bilgi University (2012)

Download your copy from: http://cadmus.eui.eu/handle/1814/23260

Bibliography

- Delanty, G. (1995). Inventing Europe: Idea, Identity, Reality, Basingstoke: Macmillan.
- Kymlicka, W. and M. Opalski (2002). Can Liberal Pluralism be Exported? Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Robbins, J. (2011). 'Indigenous Representative Bodies in Northern Europe and Australia', in Günter Minnerup & Pia Solberg (eds.), First World, First Nations. Brighton: Sussex Academic press.

BULGARIA

- Constitution of the Republic of Bulgaria (1991). http://www.parliament.bg/?page=const&lng=en Council of Ministers (2011). Правилник за устройството и дейността на Националния съвет за сътрудничество по етническите и интеграционните въпроси към Министерския съвет (Regulations for the Composition and Activity of the National Council for Cooperation on Ethnic and Integration Issues at the Council of Ministers). http://www.nccedi.government.bg/upload/docs/PRAVILNIK za ustrojstvoto i dejnostta na Nacionalnia syvet za sytrudnicestvo po etniceskite i integr.rtf
- Hajdinjak, M. (2008). "Thou Shalt Not Take the Names Ethnic or Minority, and I Will Bless Thee: Political Participation of Minorities in Bulgaria" In: Political Parties and Minority Participation. Skopje: Friedrich Ebert Stiftung Office Macedonia. http://www.fes.org.mk/pdf/Political%20Parties%20and%20Minority%20Participation.pd f
- Vice Prime Minister (2012). Заповед № Р-57 от 02.03.2012 (Order No. P-57 from 02.03.2012).
 - http://www.nccedi.government.bg/upload/docs/Zap NSSEIV 2012 2.03.2012.pdf
- Web site of the National Assembly of the Republic of Bulgaria, http://www.parliament.bg/en/parliamentarygroups

GREECE

European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (2004), Third Report on Greece.

- Greek Helsinki Monitor & Minority Rights Group-Greece (1999), Report about Compliance with the Principles of the Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities, ECtHR, Tourkiki Enosi Xanthis v. Greece, (26698/05)
- Human Rights of Minorities (2008). Report by Thomas Hammarberg, Commissioner for Human Rights of the Council of Europe, following his visit to Greece
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs (1999). Information Dpt, Muslim Minority of Thrace.
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs (2010). The Muslim Minority In Thrace: A General Outline, Report for OSCE Copenhagen Document Anniversary Conference, 10-11 June 2010
- Minority Rights Group International (2011). State of the World's Minorities and Indigenous Peoples 2011 Greece, 6 July 2011

- Psychogiopoulou, E. (2008). Strasbourg Court Jurisprudence and Human Rights in Greece: An Overview of Litigation, Implementation and Domestic Reform, State of the art report for JURISTRAS Project
- Triandafyllidou, A and D. Anagnostou (2008). Regions, minorities and European integration: A case study on Muslims in Western Thrace, Greece, Athens: ELIAMEP
- Tsitselikis, K. (2012). Old and New Islam in Greece: From Historical Minorities to Immigrant Newcomers, Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers
- Western Thrace Minority University Graduates Association (2010). "Turkish Minority in Western Thrace", Report for OSCE Copenhagen Document Anniversary Conference, 10-11 June 2010

POLAND

- Jasińska-Kania A. and S. Łodziński (eds.) (2009) Obszary i formy wykluczenia etnicznego w Polsce. Mniejszości narodowe, imigranci, uchodźcy, Warszawa.
- Łodziński S. (1994). Polityka państwa polskiego wobec mniejszości narodowych w latach 1989-1993, Raport nr 55, Kancelaria Sejmu Biuro Studiów i Ekspertyz
- Łodziński, S. (2004). Tolerancja czy akceptacja? Etniczne stosunki mniejszościowe w Polsce po 1989 roku, [in:] Posern-Zieliński A. (ed.) Tolerancja i jej granice w relacjach między kulturowych, pp. 89-104, Poznań: Prace Komitetu Nauk Etnologicznych PAN.
- Łodziński S. (2005). Równość i rożnica. Mniejszości narodowe w porządku demokratycznym w Polsce po 1989 roku, Warszawa: SCHOLAR
- Nijakowski, L. (2008). Nowe prawa Ślązaków, "Śląsk" 4 (150): 18-20.
- Nijakowski L.M. (2005). Polityka państwa polskiego wobec mniejszości narodowych i etnicznych, Komisja Mniejszości Narodowych i Etnicznych Sejmu RP, Wydawnictwo Sejmowe, Warszawa Oireachtas website: http://www.oireachtas.ie/parliament/
- Wódz, J. and K. Wódz (1999) Czy Ślązacy są mniejszością kulturową? [in:] Mucha J. (ed.) Kultura dominująca jako kultura obca. Mniejszości kulturowe a grupa dominująca w Polsce, Warszawa.

ROMANIA

- Centrul de Resurse pentru Diversitate Etnoculturala, Monica Caluser, Regimul drepturilor minorităților în Europa Centrală și de Est , http://www.edrc.ro/docs/docs/politici/031-166.pdf , Accessed 30.10.2012
- Chamber of Deputies, http://www.cdep.ro/pls/parlam/structura.fp?idp=5&cam=2&leg=2008&prn=0, Accessed 30.10.2012
- Chamber of Deputies, http://www.cdep.ro/pls/parlam/structura.gp?idl=2&idg=5, Accessed 30.10.2012
- Decree-Law of 14th March 1990 on electing the Parliament and the President of Romania, http://www.cdep.ro/pls/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis/legis

- Department for Interethnic Relations, http://www.dri.gov.ro/index.html?page=statistics, Accessed 30.10.2012
- Legislative Proposal on the statute of minorities in Romania (2012). http://www.cdep.ro/pls/proiecte/upl pck.proiect?cam=2&idp=6778, Accessed 30.10.2012
- Senate, http://www.senat.ro/ComponentaGrupuri.aspx?Zi&GrupID=a3c12294-548e-4d2e-a386-7b7e8c8e762d, Accessed 30.10.2012
- Ziare.com (2010). "Ponta: Vom adopta statutul minoritatilor, dar fara autonomie culturala" http://www.ziare.com/victor-ponta/premier/ponta-vom-adopta-statutul-minoritatilor-dar-fara-autonomie-culturala-1165764, Accessed 30.10.2

SWEDEN

- Allard, C. (2006). Two sides of the coin rights and duties: the interface between environmental law and Saami law based on a comparison with Aoteoroa/New Zealand and Canada. Luleå: Luleå University of Technology.
- Josefsen, E. (2007). 'The Saami and the National Parliaments Channels for Political Influence, in GÁLDU CÁLA Journal of Indigenous Peoples Rights, No. 2.
- Lantto, P. and U. Mörkenstam (2013). 'Action, Organization and Confrontation: Strategies of the Sámi movement in Sweden during the 20th century'
- Lantto, P. (2000). Tiden börjar på nytt. En analys av samernas etnopolitiska mobilisering i Sverige 1900-1950. Umeå: Kulturgräns norr.
- Lawrence, R. and U. Mörkenstam (2012). 'Självbestämmande genom myndighetsutövning? Sametingets dubbla roller', in Statsvetenskaplig tidskrift, Vol 114, No. 2.
- Runblom, H. (1995). Majoritet och minoritet i Östersjöområdet

TURKEY

- Aslan, S. (2009). "Incoherent State: The Controversy over Kurdish Naming in Turkey," European Journal of Turkish Studies, 10 [Online] available at http://ejts.revues.org/index4142.html.
- Gülalp, H. (1996). "Islamism and Kurdish Nationalism: Rival Adversaries of Kemalism in Turkey," in Tamara Sonn (ed.), Islamism and the Question of Minorities, Atlanta: Scholars Press: 82-96.
- Güven, D. (2005). Cumhuriyet Dönemi Azınlık Politikaları Bağlamında 6-7 Eylül Olayları (6-7 September Incidences within the Framework of Republican Policies on Minority). İstanbul: Tarih Vakfı Yurt, 2005.
- Hale, W. and E. Özbudun (2009). Islamism, Democracy and Liberalism in Turkey: the Rise of the AKP. London: Routledge.
- Jongerden, J. and A. H. Akkaya (2011). "Born from the Left: The Making of the PKK," in M. Casier and J. Jongerden (eds.). Nationalisms and Politics in Turkey: Political Islam, Kemalism and the Kurdish Issue. London: Routledge: 123-142.

- Kaya, A. and T. Tarhanlı (eds.) (2008). Türkiye'de Çoğunluk ve Azınlık Politikaları: AB Sürecinde Yurttaşlık Tartışmaları (Majority and Minority Policies in Turkey: Citizenship Debates on the way to the EU). Istanbul: TESEV Publications.
- Öktem, K. (2004). "Incorporating the time and space of the ethnic other: nationalism and space in Southeast Turkey in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries," Nations and Nationalism, Vol 10, No. 4: 559-578.
- Olson, R. (2009). Blood, Beliefs and Ballots: The Management of Kurdish Nationalism in Turkey, 2007-2009. California: Mazda Publishers.
- Öniş, Z. (2007). "Conservative globalists versus defensive nationalists: political parties and paradoxes of Europeanization in Turkey", Journal of Southern Europe and the Balkans, Vol. 9, No. 3: 247-260.
- Oran, B. (2004). Türkiye'de Azınlıklar: Kavramlar, Teori, Lausanne, İç Mevzuat, İçtihat, Uygulama (Minorities in Turkey: Concepts, Theory, Lausanne, Domestic Law, Interpretation, Implementation). İstanbul: İletişim Publications.

About ACCEPT PLURALISM - project identity

Acronym ACCEPT PLURALISM

Title Tolerance, Pluralism and Social Cohesion: Responding to the Challenges

of the 21st Century in Europe

Short Description ACCEPT PLURALISM questions how much cultural diversity can be

accommodated within liberal and secular democracies in Europe. The notions of tolerance, acceptance, respect and recognition are central to the project. ACCEPT PLURALISM looks at both native and immigrant minority

groups.

Through comparative, theoretical and empirical analysis the project studies individuals, groups or practices for whom tolerance is sought but which we should not tolerate; of which we disapprove but which should be tolerated; and for which we ask to go beyond tolerance and achieve respect and

recognition.

In particular, we investigate when, what and who is being not tolerated / tolerated / respected in 15 European countries; why this is happening in each case; the reasons that different social actors put forward for not tolerating / tolerating / respecting specific minority groups/individuals and specific practices. The project analyses practices, policies and institutions, and produces key messages for policy makers with a view to making

European societies more respectful towards diversity.

Website <u>www.accept-pluralism.eu</u>

Duration March 2010-May 2013 (39 months)

Funding Scheme Small and medium-scale collaborative project

EU contribution 2,600,230 Euro

Consortium 17 partners (15 countries)

Coordinator European University Institute

Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies

Person Responsible Prof. Anna Triandafyllidou

EC officer Ms. Louisa Anastopoulou, Project Officer,

Directorate General for Research and Innovation, European Commission