Calling into Question the Link between Educational Achievement and Migrant Background

Anna Di Bartolomeo and Sara Bonfanti
Calling into Question the Link between Educational Achievement and Migrant Background

Anna Di Bartolomeo and Sara Bonfanti
Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies

The Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies (RSCAS), created in 1992 and directed by Brigid Laffan since September 2013, aims to develop inter-disciplinary and comparative research and to promote work on the major issues facing the process of integration and European society.

The Centre is home to a large post-doctoral programme and hosts major research programmes and projects, and a range of working groups and ad hoc initiatives. The research agenda is organised around a set of core themes and is continuously evolving, reflecting the changing agenda of European integration and the expanding membership of the European Union.

Details of the research of the Centre can be found on:
http://www.eui.eu/RSCAS/Research/

Research publications take the form of Working Papers, Policy Papers, Distinguished Lectures and books. Most of these are also available on the RSCAS website:
http://www.eui.eu/RSCAS/Publications/

The EUI and the RSCAS are not responsible for the opinion expressed by the author(s).

Migration Policy Centre (MPC)

The Migration Policy Centre (MPC) at the European University Institute, Florence, conducts advanced research on global migration to serve migration governance needs at European level, from developing, implementing and monitoring migration-related policies to assessing their impact on the wider economy and society.

The MPC carries out field as well as archival research, both of which are scientifically robust and policy-relevant, not only at European level, but also globally, targeting policy-makers as well as politicians. This research provides tools for addressing migration challenges, by: 1) producing policy-oriented research on aspects of migration, asylum and mobility in Europe and in countries located along migration routes to Europe, that are regarded as priorities; 2) bridging research with action by providing policy-makers and other stakeholders with results required by evidence-based policymaking, as well as necessary methodologies that address migration governance needs; 3) pooling scholars, experts, policy makers, and influential thinkers in order to identify problems, research their causes and consequences, and devise policy solutions. Our research includes a core programme and several projects, most of them co-financed by the European Union.

The MPC working paper series, published since April 2013, aims at disseminating high-quality research pertaining to migration and related issues. All EUI members, as well as other external scholars and practitioners, are welcome to submit their work to the series. For further queries, please contact the Migration Policy Centre Secretariat at mpc@eui.eu

More information can be found on: http://www.migrationpolicycentre.eu/

Disclaimer: The EUI, RSCAS and MPC are not responsible for the opinion expressed by the author(s). Furthermore, the views expressed in this publication cannot in any circumstances be regarded as the official position of the European Union”. 
Abstract

In EU societies, the role that immigrants’ children play in the educational system is fiercely debated. There exists a consensus that immigrants’ children show, on average, lower educational performances than children of natives in all EU states, regardless of grade level, type of school, age, etc. This awareness has led to the perception that the concentration of immigrants’ children negatively affects overall school educational performances. This note aims to disentangle the link between educational performance and migration background showing how the reality is much more complex. Specifically, two questions are answered. First, given that immigrants’ children represent a heterogeneous group in terms of parents’ origin, age at arrival, etc., does a multicultural background bring any kind of advantage to school performance compared with a “mono-cultural” one? Second, what is the effect of attending schools with a high percentage of immigrants’ children in terms of average school performance, once controlled for school socio-economic resources?
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1. Introduction

In EU societies, the role that immigrants’ children play in the educational system is fiercely debated. There exists a consensus that immigrants’ children show, on average, lower educational performances than children of natives in all EU states, regardless of grade level, type of school, age, etc. This awareness come out of scientific production over the last 20 years (see, for instance, Brinbaum and Heath, 2007; Di Bartolomeo, 2012; OECD, 2006), which has been made possible thanks to the growing availability of quantitative datasets, linking migration background and educational performance.¹

These findings have led, in fact, to the perception that the concentration of immigrants’ children negatively affects overall school educational performances. According to the European Social Survey, more than three out of ten European citizens agree (or strongly agree) that immigrants “should be allowed to educate their children in their own separate schools”. Above-average scores are found in Slovenia (33.5%), Hungary (36.0%), France (37.7%) and the Czech Republic (41.7%), and even higher scores in Ireland (47.0%), Portugal (54.5%) and Poland (70.0%).

These concerns have increasingly pushed natives to adopt a variety of measures to select more homogeneous schools for their children in terms of the background of the student body. In France, a study conducted in the city of Paris in 2003 found that 8% of parents – all belonging to middle/high class – used a false address of residence, changed their residence or simply recurred to private schools to escape the principe de sectorisation. This law was in force from 1963 to 2007 (Gilotte e Girard, 2005). While it was initially conceived as a planning instrument to manage educational resources in accordance with local demographics, it led, ultimately, to an increase in local inequalities because of the overrepresentation of immigrants in more deprived neighbourhoods and because of frequent attempts to get around the system. Similar patterns have been observed in Germany and the UK. The highly differentiated educational system of Germany tends to select students early on, on the basis of their curricula, age and knowledge of German. Children are sent to different types of lower secondary schools, all leading to different school-leaving qualifications: secondary modern schools (Hauptschule); secondary schools leading to intermediate qualification (Realschule); grammar schools (Gymnasium); or comprehensive schools (Gesamtschulen). Special schools (Förderschule or Sonderschule), which address students with special learning needs, represent an additional option. Language difficulties are the primary reason for which the children of immigrants are overrepresented in Förderschule and Hauptschule schools and, thus, achieve lower qualifications (Behrens et al., 2002). In the UK, 70% of the people interviewed in a recent survey, which investigated the reasons why people chose to send their children to private schools, declared that they did so because they wanted their children to attend a school composed of pupils from a similar background and with similar aspirations. In securing for their children a place in the “right” school, upper middle class parents increasingly cheat by feigning religious observance, using a false address during the admission process or simply by moving home (Becky and Hutchings, 2013).

This note aims to disentangle the link between educational performance and migration background showing how the reality is much more complex. Specifically, two questions are answered. First, given that immigrants’ children represent a heterogeneous group in terms of parents’ origin, age at arrival, etc., does a multicultural background bring any kind of advantage to school performance compared with a “mono-cultural” one? Second, what is the effect of attending schools with a high percentage of

¹ Some notable examples are: the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA), developed by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD); the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMMS) and the Progress in International Reading Literacy Study (PIRLS), developed by the International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA).
immigrants’ children in terms of average school performance, once controlled for school socio-economic resources?

2. Disentangling the link between migration background and educational performance

2.1 Data

In order to develop a well-grounded analysis of the link between migration background and educational performance, we will employ here the 2009 PISA dataset. The OECD’s PISA survey is conducted every three years with the aim of assessing the educational achievement of fifteen-years-old students in the most advanced economies. It focuses on three “life skill” domains, namely mathematics, science and reading, which are internationally considered as crucial for improving and adapting education systems in response to new competence requirements. A more in-depth analysis of each domain is carried out every nine years. The 2009 PISA dataset focuses on reading skills, measured through standardized tests. Data about students’, parents’ and schools’ characteristics are collected as well. In each country, a sample of at least 150 schools (primary sampling units) is extracted and within each school a sample of 35 fifteen years old students has been selected for a total of at least 4,500 pupils per country.

PISA was not initially designed to study immigrants’ children. However, it has become today one of the key databases in drawing comparative empirical evidence of their educational performance, as recently recommended by the 2010 European Ministerial Conference on Integration in Zaragoza. Several reasons explain this. First, its sampling design is accurate and valid in allowing the representativeness of immigrants’ children in a large number of countries (OECD, 2006). Second, by collecting information on pupils’ and parents’ country of birth, pupils’ country of nationality and age at arrival in their host country, PISA provides national specificities and migration histories. Third, in addition to individual characteristics, PISA contains a wide range of information on school and parent characteristics which allow us to study the influence of contextual factors on pupils’ educational performance. Fourth, being a triennial survey, it gives the possibility of assessing trends over time, though not longitudinally.

2.2 The added value of having a multicultural background

This section tries to answer the first of our research questions, i.e. “does a multicultural background bring any kind of advantage to school performance compared with a ‘mono-cultural’ one?”

To capture the effect of pupils’ multicultural background, we compare here the educational trajectories of children of mixed couples with those of children with a ‘mono-cultural’ background. Children of mixed couples are defined as children with one parent born in the host country and another one born abroad, regardless of the child’s country of birth.2 Children with a ‘mono-cultural’ background” include both natives’ children (i.e. pupils who have both parents born in the country of destination) and immigrants’ children (i.e. pupils with both parents born abroad regardless of the child’s country of birth).

Our sample is composed of 117,654 students attending 4,832 schools. This sample represents 3,307,215 fifteen-years-old students in the EU15 (see table A1 in the Appendix). Children of mixed couples are a non-negligible proportion (9.0%) of the student population, close to the share of immigrants’ children (11.4%) and their proportion largely varies across countries as a result of their different migration histories (see Figure 1).

2 It is worth mentioning that the PISA dataset does not allow users to include children whose parents were born in two different foreign countries.
The document discusses the educational achievements of children from mixed couples and children of immigrants in EU15 states. Figure 1 shows the share of children of mixed couples and children of immigrants in the population of 15-year-old students in EU15 states. Figure 2 compares the educational achievements of these two groups of children to the achievements of natives’ children. It clearly shows that children of mixed couples not only perform significantly better than the children of immigrants (figure 2a), but also that their performance is closer to that of natives’ children (figure 2b).

**Figure 2 - Average differential of reading performance by country of residence and student origin, 2009 (*)**

Children of mixed couples perform significantly better than natives’ children in three countries (Portugal, UK and Ireland); while their outcomes do not significantly differ from those of natives’ children in five countries (Greece, Netherlands, Sweden, Italy and Austria). Such findings support the idea that belonging to a multicultural rather than mono-cultural background has a positive (or at worst neutral) effect on educational performances achievement of students. This seems to be in line with the variant approach theory (see, among others, Thornton and Wason, 1995, Rockquemore & Brunsma, 2002a, 2002b; Van Oors & Veenman, 2008), which has been developed at the empirical level in the...
UK and US (see e.g. Kao, 1999; Shih et al. 2007; Muttarak, 2007), but also in continental Europe (see, for instance, Becker, 2011). One reason for this advantage is bilingualism (Bialystok, 2001).

Having a multicultural background represents, meanwhile, a disadvantage in Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Luxembourg and Spain (figure 2a). However, after controlling for structural characteristics (unrelated to migration background), children of mixed couples no longer perform significantly different from children of natives in Denmark, France and Germany, while the gap substantially diminishes in other countries (figure 3).

As a matter of fact, even in the last countries, educational performance is not affected by the presence of children of mixed couples per se but “only” by their socio-economic conditions.

**Figure 3 - Average differential in reading performance by country of residence and origin before and after controlling for structural characteristics**, 2009 (**) (see figure 2a).

Notes: (*) The following structural characteristics were controlled for: sex, parents’ educational and occupational level, PISA Index of home cultural possessions and type of family; (**) No significant values are marked in blank.

Source: authors’ elaboration on PISA-2009

To conclude, we found evidence that in more than half of the countries, having a multicultural background has a positive or neutral effect on scholastic performance. In other countries, the worst educational performance of children of mixed couples with respect to natives’ children is (almost fully) explained by socio-economic – rather than origin – differences. Here, educational policies should, thus, focus not on children of mixed couples because of their multicultural origin. Rather, they should address all students with a disadvantaged socio-economic background, regardless of their origin.

**2.3 The effect of ethnic segregation on school educational performances**

In section 2.1 we showed that immigrants’ children have lower performance as compared to both children of natives and children of mixed couples.

Accordingly, one should expect that schools with high percentages of immigrants’ children report, on average, worse educational achievements than schools with lower shares of immigrants’ children. For instance, this is what figure 4 shows: in EU15 countries, the average reading performance of
schools with low percentages of immigrants’ children is higher than that of schools where immigrants’ children are concentrated.

**Figure 4 - Average reading performance of schools with percentages of immigrants’ children higher or lower/equal to the median school value by country of residence, 2009**

Here, we are interested in empirically verifying if and to what extent school performance variation is associated with the presence of immigrants’ children, once controlled for schools’ socio-economic characteristics.

School performance variation may, indeed, be affected by the so-called group composition effects – i.e. the effect that is found when individual characteristics are aggregated at a higher level, such as in a class or a school. Once controlled for individual characteristics, the significance of this effect implies that student performance is affected by school composition (Verhaeghe et al., 2011). Here, we verify what kinds of school composition effects, namely ethnic or socio-economic composition, are associated with school average performance.

To this end, the multilevel modelling technique has been employed since it allows us to estimate the share of variance which is attributable to each level within which students are clustered (classes, schools, etc.). In so doing, it allows us to quantify the actual contribution of school level determinants related to both ethnic and socio-economic conditions.

We performed, then, two multilevel models for each country. In both models, the dependent variable is students’ reading performance, while the independent variables at the individual level (first level) are sex, parents’ socio-economic status (educational and occupational level), PISA index of cultural possessions at home (e.g. number of books, dictionaries, etc.) and type of family. At the school level (second level), while the first model considers ethnic composition, the second model takes into account both the ethnic and the socio-economic school composition (for the detailed variables used see note under 1 below table 1). Our purpose is to verify whether ethnic composition is significantly associated with school performances and whether this effect changes after controlling for socio-economic composition.

Synthetic results of the analyses performed are shown in Table 1, where – for the sake of simplicity – only the signs of the coefficient related to school level variables (ethnic and socio-economic composition) are reported.
Table 1 – Results of 2-level multilevel regression: signs of school-level variables capturing ethnic and socio-economic school composition (*). Dependent variable: reading performance, 2009 (**)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country of residence</th>
<th>Ethnic composition</th>
<th>Socio-economic composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1) Model 1</td>
<td>(2) Model 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: (*) The ethnic composition is proxied by the percentage of immigrants’ children per school (continuous variable), while the socio-economic composition is proxied by the PISA Index of economic, social and cultural status (ESCS) (continuous variable). As a matter of fact, signs should be read as follows: the negative ethnic composition coefficient implies that the higher the percentage of immigrants’ children at school, the lower the school performance; a positive socio-economic coefficient implies that the better the socio-economic conditions of schools, the higher the school performance. (**) n.s. stands for “not significant”.

Source: authors’ elaboration on PISA-2009

The group composition effect related to students’ ethnic background is significantly negative in the vast majority of cases (column 1 – Table 1), thus confirming that a concentration of pupils from a migrant background is associated with lower scores in schools’ reading skills. However, once controlled for schools’ socio-economic composition, the effect of the ethnic background becomes non-significant for almost all countries (column 2 – table 1), while the socio-economic composition is significantly correlated with reading performances everywhere (column 3 – table 1). This suggests that the better the school socio-economic conditions the higher the school performance in all countries. Our results are in line with other studies performed at a national level with different datasets: see e.g. Fekjaer and Birkelund (2007) on Norwegian upper secondary education; Cebolla-Boado (2007) on French lower secondary school; Cebolla - Boado and Medina (2011) on Spanish primary education; and Brannstrom (2008) on Swedish upper secondary education. Our analysis contributes to the previous literature as it shows the effect of ethnic composition over countries when the same dataset and methodology is applied to all countries.

Our findings, then, strongly suggest that a concentration of immigrants’ children in schools should not be an issue of concern. Certainly, there is no evidence for a detrimental effect on student learning in most OECD countries. What needs to be addressed by national policy-makers is the problem of a deprived socio-economic background. It is this that seems to characterize most schools attended by students from a migrant background.
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Appendix

Table A1 - Fifteen-year-old students in EU15 states by country of residence and category (sample and weighted data)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country of residence</th>
<th>Sample data</th>
<th></th>
<th>Weighted data</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Children of natives</td>
<td>Children of mixed couples</td>
<td>Children of immigrants</td>
<td>Children of natives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>4,993</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>66,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>5,999</td>
<td>1,043</td>
<td>1,203</td>
<td>84,118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>3,986</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>1,276</td>
<td>49,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>5,310</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>56,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>3,133</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>491,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>3,290</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>507,372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>4,084</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>75,365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>2,818</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>37,619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>26,786</td>
<td>2,198</td>
<td>1,549</td>
<td>441,704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>1,989</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>1,756</td>
<td>2,147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>3,761</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>144,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>5,008</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>77,485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>21,379</td>
<td>1,679</td>
<td>2,190</td>
<td>319,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>3,505</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>86,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>10,132</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>530,419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>106,173</strong></td>
<td><strong>11,481</strong></td>
<td><strong>13,088</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,970,511</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: authors’ elaboration on PISA-2009
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