

# PROOF COVER SHEET

---

Author(s): Cristiano Bee

Article Title: Conventional versus non-conventional political participation: dimensions, means, and consequences

Article No: FTUR1272049

Enclosures: 1) Query sheet  
2) Article proofs

---

Dear Author,

**1. Please check these proofs carefully.** It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to check these and approve or amend them. A second proof is not normally provided. Taylor & Francis cannot be held responsible for uncorrected errors, even if introduced during the production process. Once your corrections have been added to the article, it will be considered ready for publication.

Please limit changes at this stage to the correction of errors. You should not make trivial changes, improve prose style, add new material, or delete existing material at this stage. You may be charged if your corrections are excessive (we would not expect corrections to exceed 30 changes).

For detailed guidance on how to check your proofs, please paste this address into a new browser window: <http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/production/checkingproofs.asp>

Your PDF proof file has been enabled so that you can comment on the proof directly using Adobe Acrobat. If you wish to do this, please save the file to your hard disk first. For further information on marking corrections using Acrobat, please paste this address into a new browser window: <http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/production/acrobat.asp>

---

**2. Please review the table of contributors below and confirm that the first and last names are structured correctly and that the authors are listed in the correct order of contribution.** This check is to ensure that your name will appear correctly online and when the article is indexed.

Sequence	Prefix	Given name(s)	Surname	Suffix
1		Cristiano	Bee	
2		Ayhan	Kaya	

Queries are marked in the margins of the proofs, and you can also click the hyperlinks below. Content changes made during copy-editing are shown as tracked changes. Inserted text is in **red font** and revisions have a red indicator **▲**. Changes can also be viewed using the list comments function. To correct the proofs, you should insert or delete text following the instructions below, but **do not add comments to the existing tracked changes**.

## AUTHOR QUERIES

### General points:

1. **Permissions:** You have warranted that you have secured the necessary written permission from the appropriate copyright owner for the reproduction of any text, illustration, or other material in your article. Please see <http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/permissions/usingThirdPartyMaterial.asp>.
2. **Third-party content:** If there is third-party content in your article, please check that the rightsholder details for re-use are shown correctly.
3. **Affiliation:** The corresponding author is responsible for ensuring that address and email details are correct for all the co-authors. Affiliations given in the article should be the affiliation at the time the research was conducted. Please see <http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/preparation/writing.asp>.
4. **Funding:** Was your research for this article funded by a funding agency? If so, please insert ‘This work was supported by <insert the name of the funding agency in full>’, followed by the grant number in square brackets ‘[grant number xxxx]’.
5. **Supplemental data and underlying research materials:** Do you wish to include the location of the underlying research materials (e.g. data, samples or models) for your article? If so, please insert this sentence before the reference section: ‘The underlying research materials for this article can be accessed at <full link>/ description of location [author to complete]’. If your article includes supplemental data, the link will also be provided in this paragraph. See <<http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/preparation/multimedia.asp>> for further explanation of supplemental data and underlying research materials.
6. The **CrossRef database** ([www.crossref.org/](http://www.crossref.org/)) has been used to validate the references. Changes resulting from mismatches are tracked in **red font**.

QUERY NO.	QUERY DETAILS
<b>AQ1</b>	There is a mismatch in the article title between the file, which is supplied with the original manuscript, and the original manuscript. We have followed the one in the manuscript. Please provide revisions if this is incorrect.
<b>AQ2</b>	Please provide the missing city name for the affiliation “Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies” of the author Ayhan Kaya.
<b>AQ3</b>	The disclosure statement has been inserted. Please correct if this is inaccurate.

## How to make corrections to your proofs using Adobe Acrobat/Reader

Taylor & Francis offers you a choice of options to help you make corrections to your proofs. Your PDF proof file has been enabled so that you can edit the proof directly using Adobe Acrobat/Reader. This is the simplest and best way for you to ensure that your corrections will be incorporated. If you wish to do this, please follow these instructions:

1. Save the file to your hard disk.
2. Check which version of Adobe Acrobat/Reader you have on your computer. You can do this by clicking on the “Help” tab, and then “About”.

If Adobe Reader is not installed, you can get the latest version free from <http://get.adobe.com/reader/>.

3. If you have Adobe Acrobat/Reader 10 or a later version, click on the “Comment” link at the right-hand side to view the Comments pane.
4. You can then select any text and mark it up for deletion or replacement, or insert new text as needed. Please note that these will clearly be displayed in the Comments pane and secondary annotation is not needed to draw attention to your corrections. If you need to include new sections of text, it is also possible to add a comment to the proofs. To do this, use the Sticky Note tool in the task bar. Please also see our FAQs here: <http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/production/index.asp>.
5. Make sure that you save the file when you close the document before uploading it to CATS using the “Upload File” button on the online correction form. If you have more than one file, please zip them together and then upload the zip file.

If you prefer, you can make your corrections using the CATS online correction form.

### Troubleshooting

**Acrobat help:** <http://helpx.adobe.com/acrobat.html>

**Reader help:** <http://helpx.adobe.com/reader.html>

Please note that full user guides for earlier versions of these programs are available from the Adobe Help pages by clicking on the link “Previous versions” under the “Help and tutorials” heading from the relevant link above. Commenting functionality is available from Adobe Reader 8.0 onwards and from Adobe Acrobat 7.0 onwards.

**Firefox users:** Firefox’s inbuilt PDF Viewer is set to the default; please see the following for instructions on how to use this and download the PDF to your hard drive: [http://support.mozilla.org/en-US/kb/view-pdf-files-firefox-without-downloading-them#w\\_using-a-pdf-reader-plugin](http://support.mozilla.org/en-US/kb/view-pdf-files-firefox-without-downloading-them#w_using-a-pdf-reader-plugin)

## INTRODUCTION

**Conventional versus non-conventional political participation: dimensions, means, and consequences**

AQ1

Cristiano Bee<sup>a</sup> and Ayhan Kaya<sup>b,c</sup><sup>a</sup>Department of Political Science and Public Administration, Kadir Has University, Istanbul, Turkey; <sup>b</sup>Department of International Relations, Istanbul Bilgi University, Istanbul, Turkey;

AQ2

<sup>c</sup>Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies, European University Institute, Italy**ARTICLE HISTORY** Received 9 December 2016; Accepted 9 December 2016

This special issue focuses on the emergence of different forms of civic and political activism in Turkey. In doing so, we have taken into account different components of active citizenship and looked more specifically into the development of civic and political forms of activism that bridge the realms of conventional and non-conventional participation. As witnessed in many different contexts, conventional forms of political participation such as electoral politics are being replaced with non-conventional forms of participation that take place outside, and sometimes in opposition to, the more traditional channels of representation.<sup>1</sup> The issue of active citizenship has become more and more salient in recent years, with a growing literature discussing the processes that bring about new modalities, which conceive the notion of citizenship as something detached from certain rights, obligations and entitlements embedded in the traditional definition of national citizenship.<sup>2</sup> The active role citizens can play in political and civic life deserves particular attention with regard to the analysis of participatory behaviors that signify new modalities through which citizens relate to civic and political domains.<sup>3</sup> We believe that the argument that more and more people are becoming disengaged from politics<sup>4</sup> proves to be misleading. A key point that drives our argumentation is that instead the crisis of representative democracy has favored the emergence of alternative modalities to engage and participate in civic and political life. Civic and political participation take manifold dimensions, and can be expressed through the activation of participatory behaviors<sup>5</sup> of various kinds, including volunteering, taking part in NGO activities, boycotts, and protests and demonstrations.

## Demands of active citizenship

In the typology that we developed,<sup>6</sup> we argue that active citizenship can be untangled on the basis of two distinct dimensions (top-down and bottom-up) that provide the basis for diverging definitions: *active citizenship as a practice* and *active citizenship as a demand*. In regard to the former, we argue that public institutions through public policy have strategically promoted the activation of participatory behaviors in order to stimulate engagement among citizens, and ultimately to improve bases of input legitimacy. Under this category, we can include conventional political behaviors such as voting as well as non-conventional forms of participation such as joining a political movement or civil society organization with the scope of interacting with policy-makers through lobbying activities or advocacy work. Various examples in Europe provide evidence of the fact that public institutions foremost promote this form of participation in order to shape participatory behaviors, while at the same time, this is key for improving the efficiency of governance systems.<sup>7</sup> In regard to the latter, we argue that the lack of deliberative and participatory public policies is more likely to result with the emergence of bottom-up forms of civic and political mobilization where civil society groups contest the current status quo by posing distinct claims to policy-makers. Understanding the demands of active citizenship becomes particularly important whenever competing claims are made in civil society through the use of both traditional and alternative channels of mobilization.<sup>8</sup> Active citizenship as a demand is expressed outside formal channels of political participation such as electoral politics, and takes expression by means of various forms of deliberation.<sup>9</sup> At the same time, it takes place when public policy is insufficient or non-existent, and individuals tend to mobilize in collective action to solve a particular problem acting apart from – or in some cases replacing – public intervention. From this perspective, citizens tend to gain ownership of social and political settings by trying to subvert the existing order. Examples include getting involved in protests against an authoritarian government; self-mobilizing for the purpose of guaranteeing the well-being of the community to replace the functions of policy-makers when there is a lack of intervention; or occupying and using abandoned public spaces for the organization of cultural and/or social activities to provide help for immigrants or disadvantaged people in a particular community.

## Demands of active citizenship in the Turkish context

The Turkish case is particularly an important context, which could provide the reader with an understanding of the complexity inherent in the transformation of the conventional form of citizenship into active citizenship.<sup>10</sup> Many scholars have argued that in Turkey the notion of citizenship has evolved through a strong attachment to the state and its institutions,<sup>11</sup> which has resulted in the

development of both passive and militant citizens.<sup>12</sup> In regard to passive citizens, we refer to those who are mostly adherent to the *status quo*. At the same time, the citizens can be militant as they undertake political action in accordance with an organic vision of society, making them to defer their rights until the moment they serve their duties to the state.<sup>13</sup> Additionally, the Turkish context is characterized by a number of limitations in the promotion of practices of active citizenship. Just to draw on a significant example, the promotion of civil society policies by the European Union (EU) was expected to strengthen democratization and to bolster independent associations in Turkey. However, the result is a bit more complicated than was envisaged. On the one hand, the EU civil society policy has had an important effect on stimulating the development of civil society actors. On the other hand, it has not created a truly independent and autonomous civil society.<sup>14</sup>

However, a number of transformative aspects have challenged this top-down promotion of active citizenship highlighting new dimensions that are worthwhile to explore. This is particularly true with respect to bottom-up dimensions of civic and political participation that radically put the link between the state and citizens under scrutiny.<sup>15</sup> More scholars have been looking, in fact, at the emergence of alternative ways through which citizens participate, frame claims and define their ownership of the public space. Research by Engin Isin on the acts of citizenship is enlightening in this sense.<sup>16</sup> Acts of citizenship imply the redefinition of the spaces of participation and new modalities to shape what is political. This process of redefinition is inherent to the emergence of new struggles to express and gain a legitimate voice in public sphere. In another example, Berna Turam conducted important ethnographic research in Teşvikiye, a notoriously secular-oriented neighborhood of Istanbul. She highlights different acts of citizenship, which are performed by both secular-oriented locals of the neighborhood with more bourgeois lifestyles as well as those of newly arrived, more Islamic-oriented high-spenders. Turam argues that both the government and opposition have failed to regulate and protect the rights and liberties of citizens, which are threatened by the political contestation between the two groups. However, in the absence of the regulatory mechanisms by state actors, both devout Muslims and secularists learn in action how to see, talk, and act beyond the Islamist–secularist duality. These new acts of citizenship are performed and negotiated in the public space in a way that leads to the increasing irrelevance of the Islamist–secularist axis, reshuffling and realigning a wide range of groups across the political spectrum in defense of a deeper liberal democracy.<sup>17</sup>

### Locating *occupygezi*

On this basis, in this special issue we frame the emergence of occupy movements as a meaningful example of active citizenship as a demand, where

125 mobilizing agents have operated against the political elites as well as the  
current ideological frameworks such as neo-liberalism in order to vindicate  
the ownership of the public space<sup>18</sup> and to advocate for better bases of democ-  
racy.<sup>19</sup> In these cases, calls for democracy and social justice have been  
expressed through means such as street protests, arts, satire, humor,  
parody, creativity, and different acts of solidarity.

130 Focusing on the specific effects of the 2013 Gezi Park protests – which ori-  
ginated in Istanbul but spread throughout the country – we reflect on how this  
experience might re-orient current on civic and political participation in  
Turkey. To this effect, we specifically focus on the main dynamics of non-con-  
ventional forms of civic and political activism. In doing so, we are also trying  
to make conclusions with regard to understanding the impact of non-conven-  
tional forms of political participation on voting behavior. The attempt to gain  
135 ownership of the public space in the case of Gezi represents a clear example of  
a bottom-up expression of active citizenship where different claims were  
developed and communicated in order to break the strong link with the  
authoritarian state. This resulted in direct demands to gain ownership of  
public policy processes and to pave the way to develop forms of deliberative  
democracy in the country. In a volatile context as in Turkish, it is rather dif-  
140 ficult to identify clearly the legacy of *occupygezi* and its long-term effects. The  
internal domestic conditions of the country as well as its role in the inter-  
national arena have dramatically changed since 2013, and are constantly evol-  
ving mainly due to the domestic societal and political cleavages as well as to  
the regional problems in the Middle East. Yet, the papers in the special issue  
145 reflect upon the significance of *occupygezi* nowadays, demonstrating not only  
its importance in questioning the link between the patrimonial state and its  
citizens, but also for stimulating participatory behaviors.

### 150 **Outline of the special issue**

This special issue provides a timely reflection on current debates that are of  
great relevance in order to understand key issues such the historical determi-  
nants of civic and political participation in Turkey, traditional and alternative  
means of political and civic mobilization, and political behavior. The contri-  
155 butors of the special issue take into account both the determinants and the  
legacy of *occupygezi*. The papers draw on different methodological traditions  
and disciplines, touching upon insights from political sociology, public policy  
analysis, political science, social anthropology, and political psychology. In  
addition, by combining analysis conducted by junior and senior scholars, it  
160 provides fresh empirical research and key case studies supported by strong  
theoretical frameworks. It combines articles that mix both quantitative and  
qualitative methods of enquiry in order to provide a deeper understanding  
of the complexity of political participation in Turkey.

İnan and Grasso focus on temporal and structural mechanisms of political activism in Turkey with a particular emphasis on the centrality of generational and social class bases that help us understand social change. Kayaoğlu presents a dataset from a large-scale survey focusing on different determinants of political behavior in Turkey shedding light on the actual implications of the *occupygezi* movement. Papazian refers to the theories of intersectionality to present the results of his ethnographic work conducted in Istanbul, where he investigates the activism of leftist Armenian youths. Gümüş informs the reader about the results of her field work conducted with young participants of the Gezi protests, trying to understand the processes of social change that produced new modalities of political participation. Görkem, drawing upon a large-scale 2015 survey, looks at a particularly prominent and growing aspect of active citizenship, namely digital activism. Kaya examines the *occupygezi* movement under the light of different models of Europeanization, focusing on the legacy of the movement for various civil society actors such as business associations, media, and trade unions. Bee and Chrona report the results of a field work conducted in 2015/2016 with activists of youth organizations in order to provide an account of the impact of the *occupygezi* on their practices, activities, and values. Derman concentrates on the case study of the 'standing man,' providing an example of one of the many alternative and innovative modalities of mobilization and, in particular, reporting a valuable example of how performative acts can represent a significant expression of participation that combine arts and politics.

These articles provide evidence of different dimensions, means, and consequences inherent to conventional and non-conventional political participation in Turkey by raising critical points in regard to the emergence of demands of active citizenship that are meant to contest the current status quo, while at the same time vindicating the independence and autonomy of the civil society from interferences and manipulations coming from the political level. One could question whether the *occupygezi* movement still has a legacy in Turkey, but the articles in this special issue argue that the movement has made a great impact on the civil society to reassemble the social across ethnic, religious, class, cultural, and gendered identities.

Several individuals have been very supportive during the period in which we edited this special issue, which is the outcome of a very-well attended workshop organized by the European Institute of Istanbul Bilgi University on 21 January 2016. The workshop and the publication of this special issue are supported by a grant received from the European Commission's 7th Framework Programme, Intra-European Fellowships (IEF) FP7-PEOPLE-2013-IEF, Grant Agreement No: 625977, entitled 'The Europeanisation of the organised civil society in Turkey: The case of the youth organisations in the prospect of European integration' (EUROCS). We are grateful to the participants of the workshop, who later contributed to this issue with their

articles. They have been very punctual and collaborative in due course. Logistically, we appreciated very much the support of Aslı Aydın and Gülperi Vural, members of the European Institute, who made a tremendous contribution to the whole project with their hard-work and friendship. The anonymous peer reviewers offered many constructive critiques that have helped improve the papers. We would also like to thank our language editor, Burak Eröncel, for working with us in this timely special issue. Finally, we want to specifically thank Paul Kubicek, who, from the very beginning, believed in us and supported us to bring all these articles together in a special issue. We thank him for his encouragement and very prompt responses whenever we needed them.

### Notes

1. Marinetto, "Who Wants to Be an Active Citizen?"
2. Bee and Villano, "Active Citizenship"; Boje, "Commentary"; Faulks, *Citizenship*; Heater, *What Is Citizenship?*; Hoskins and Mascherini, "Measuring Active Citizenship"; and Lister, *Citizenship*.
3. Barrett and Brunton Smith, "Political and Civic Engagement."
4. Putnam, *Bowling Alone*.
5. Barrett and Smith, "Political and Civic Engagement" and Ekman and Amnå, "Political Participation."
6. Bee and Kaya, "Between Practices and Demands."
7. Bouza Garcia, *Participatory Democracy* and Smismans, *Civil Society*.
8. Verba, Schlozman, and Brady, *Voice and Equality*.
9. Delli Carpini, Cook, and Jacobs, "Public Deliberations."
10. Çakmaklı, "Active Citizenship in Turkey" and Çakmaklı, "Rights and Obligations."
11. Keyman and İçduygu, "Globalisation," 231.
12. İçduygu, Yılmaz, and Nalan, "What Is the Matter with Citizenship?" and İçduygu, "Interacting Actors."
13. Keyman and İçduygu, "Globalisation," 231.
14. Ergun, "Civil Society"; Kubicek, "Political Conditionality"; Kuzmanovic, *Refractions*; Yılmaz, "EU Conditionality"; and Zihnioglu, *European Union*.
15. Bozkurt, Çok, and Şener, "Government Perspectives"; Chrona and Capelos, "The Political Psychology"; and Gül and Cünük, "Istanbul's Taksim Square."
16. Isin, "Theorising" and Isin, "Citizenship in Flux."
17. Turam, "Primacy of Space in Politics."
18. Murray, "The Sphere"; Turam, "Primacy of Space in Politics," and Vatikiotis and Yörük, "Gezi Movement."
19. Abbas and Yiğit, "Scenes from Gezi Park"; David and Totamis, *Everywhere Taksim*; and Karasulu, "If a Leaf Falls."

### Disclosure statement

AQ3 No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## Notes on contributors

**Cristiano Bee** is Assistant Professor at Kadir Has University. He was a Marie Curie Fellow at the European Institute of Istanbul Bilgi University where he was principal investigator in the research project ‘The Europeanisation of the organised civil society in Turkey. The case of youth organisations in the prospect of the European integration’ (EUROCS – EC 7FP MARIE CURIE IEF). He has published widely on the development of active citizenship in Europe, European public sphere, and identity.

**Ayhan Kaya** is Professor of Politics and Jean Monnet Chair of European Politics of Interculturalism at the Department of International Relations, Istanbul Bilgi University. Some of his latest books are *Islam, Migration and Integration: The Age of Securitization* (Palgrave, 2012) and *Europeanization and Tolerance in Turkey* (Palgrave, 2013).

## Bibliography

- Abbas, Tahir, and Ismail Hakki Yiğit. “Scenes from Gezi Park: Localisation, Nationalism and Globalisation in Turkey.” *City: Analysis of Urban Trends, Culture, Theory, Policy, Action* 19, no. 1 (2015): 61–76.
- Barrett, Martyn, and Ian Brunton-Smith. “Political and Civic Engagement and Participation: Towards an Integrative Perspective.” *Journal of Civil Society* 10, no. 1 (2014): 5–28.
- Bee, Cristiano, and Ayhan Kaya. “Between Practices and Demands: Ambiguities, Controversies and Constraints in the Emergence of Active Citizenship in Turkey.” *Southeast European and Black Sea Studies* (2016). Advance online publication. doi:10.1080/14683857.2016.1244235.
- Bee, Cristiano, and Paola Villano. “Active Citizenship in Italy and the UK: Comparing Political Discourse and Practices of Political Participation, Civic Activism and Engagement in Policy Processes.” In *Political and Civic Engagement: Multidisciplinary Perspectives*, edited by Martyn Barrett and Bruna Zani, 436–455. London: Routledge, 2015.
- Boje, Thomas P. “Commentary: Participatory Democracy, Active Citizenship, and Civic Organizations – Conditions for Volunteering and Activism.” *Journal of Civil Society* 6, no. 2 (2010): 189–192.
- Bouza Garcia, Luis. *Participatory Democracy and Civil Society in the EU. Agenda-Setting and Institutionalisation*. London: Palgrave, 2000.
- Bozkurt, Sümercan, Figen Çok, and Tülin Şener. “Government Perspectives on Civic and Political Participation of Youth and Women in Turkey: Deriving Insights from Policy Documents.” In *Political and Civic Engagement: Multidisciplinary Perspectives*, edited by Martyn Barrett and Bruna Zani, 420–435. London: Routledge, 2015.
- Çakmaklı, Didem. “Active Citizenship in Turkey: Learning Citizenship in Civil Society Organizations.” *Citizenship Studies* 19, nos. 3–4 (2015): 421–435.
- Çakmaklı, Didem. “Rights and Obligations in Civil Society Organizations: Learning Active Citizenship in Turkey.” *Southeast European and Black Sea Studies* (2016). Advance online publication. doi:10.1080/14683857.2016.1244236.
- Chrona, Stavroula, and Tereza Capelos. “The Political Psychology of Participation in Turkey: Civic Engagement, Basic Values, Political Sophistication and the Young.” *Southeast European and Black Sea Studies* (2016). Advance online publication. doi:10.1080/14683857.2016.1235002.

- Cornwall, Andrea, and John Gaventa. "Makers and Shapers. Repositioning Participation in Social Policy." *IDS Bulletin* 31, no. 4 (2000): 50–62.
- David, Isabel, and Kumru F. Toktamis. *Everywhere Taksim: Sowing the Seeds for a New Turkey at Gezi*. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press, 2015.
- 285 Delli Carpini, Michael X., Fay Lomax Cook, and Lawrence R. Jacobs. "Public Deliberations, Discursive Participation and Citizen Engagement: A Review of the Empirical Literature." *Annual Review of Political Science* 7, no. 1 (2004): 315–344.
- Ekman, Joakim, and Erik Amnå. "Political Participation and Civic Engagement: Towards a New Typology." *Human Affairs* 22, no. 3 (2012): 283–300.
- Ergun, Ayça. "Civil Society in Turkey and Local Dimensions of Europeanization." *Journal of European Integration* 32, no. 5 (2010): 507–522.
- 290 Faulks, Keith. *Citizenship*. London: Routledge, 2000.
- Gaventa, John. "Introduction: Exploring Citizenship, Participation and Accountability." *IDS Bulletin* 33, no. 2 (2002): 1–18.
- Gül, Murat, John Dee, and Cahide Nur Cünü. "Istanbul's Taksim Square and Gezi Park: The Place of Protest and the Ideology of Place." *Journal of Architecture and Urbanism* 38, no. 1 (2014): 63–72.
- 295 Heater, Derek. *What Is Citizenship?* Cambridge: Polity Press, 1999.
- Hoskins, Bryony, and Mascherini Massimiliano. "Measuring Active Citizenship Through the Development of a Composite Indicator." *Social Indicators Research* 90, no. 3 (2009): 459–488.
- İçduygu, Ahmet. "Interacting Actors: The EU and Civil Society in Turkey." *South European Society and Politics* 16, no. 3 (2011): 381–394.
- 300 İçduygu, Ahmet, Colak Yilmaz, and Soyarik Nalan. "What Is the Matter with Citizenship? A Turkish Debate." *Middle Eastern Studies* 35, no. 4 (1999): 187–208.
- Isin, Engin F. "Citizenship in Flux: The Figure of the Activist Citizen." *Subjectivity* 29 (2009): 367–388.
- Isin, Engin. "Theorizing Acts of Citizenship." In *Acts of Citizenship*, edited by E. F. Isin and G. M. Nielsen, 15–43. London: Zed Books, 2008.
- 305 Karasulu, Ahu. "'If A Leaf Falls, They Blame The Tree': Scattered Notes on Gezi Resistances, Contention, and Space." *International Review of Sociology: Revue Internationale de Sociologie* 24, no. 1 (2014): 164–175.
- Keyman, E. Fuat, and Ahmet Içduygu. "Globalization, Civil Society and Citizenship in Turkey: Actors, Boundaries and Discourses." *Citizenship Studies* 7, no. 2 (2003): 219–234.
- 310 Kubicek, Paul. "Political Conditionality and European Union's Cultivation of Democracy in Turkey." *Democratization* 18, no. 4 (2012): 910–931.
- Kuzmanovic, Daniella. *Refractions of Civil Society in Turkey*. London: Palgrave, 2012.
- Lister, Ruth. *Citizenship: Feminist Perspectives*. Basingstoke: MacMillan, 1997.
- Marinetto, Michael. "Who Wants to Be an Active Citizen? The Politics and Practice of Community Involvement." *Sociology* 37, no. 1 (2003): 103–120.
- 315 Murray, Billie. "The Sphere, the Screen, and the Square: 'Locating' Occupy in the Public Sphere." *Communication Theory* 26, no. 4 (2016): 450–468.
- Putnam, Robert D. *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. New York: Simon & Schuster, 2000.
- Smismans, S., ed. *Civil Society and Legitimate European Governance*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 2006.
- 320 Turam, Berna. "Primacy of Space in Politics: Bargaining Space, Power and Freedom in an Istanbul Neighborhood." *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 37, no. 2 (2013): 409–429.

Vatikiotis, Pantelis, and Zafer F. Yörük. "Gezi Movement and the Networked Public Sphere: A Comparative Analysis in Global Context." *Social Media and Society* 2, no. 3 (2016): 1–12.

Verba, Sidney, Kay Lehman Schlozman, and Henry Brady. *Voice and Equality: Civic Volunteerism in American Politics*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1995.

Walzer, Michael. "The Communitarian Critique of Liberalism." *Political Theory* 18, no. 1 (1990): 6–23.

Yilmaz, Gözde. "EU Conditionality Is Not the Only Game in Town Domestic Drivers of Turkey's Europeanization." *Turkish Studies* 15, no. 2 (2014): 303–321.

Zihniöglu, Özge. *European Union Civil Society Policy and Turkey. A Bridge Too Far?* Basingstoke: Palgrave, 2013.

PROOF ONLY