APPLYING TOLERANCE INDICATORS:

ANNEX to the report on ASSESSING MINORITY MOBILISATION AND REPRESENTATION

2013/18 A
5. New Knowledge. Applying Tolerance Indicators

Angéline Escafré-Dublet, CERI Sciences Po
© 2013 Angéline Escafré-Dublet, Marko Hajdinjak, Nathalie Rougier, Jan Dobbernack.

This text may be downloaded only for personal research purposes. Additional reproduction for other purposes, whether in hard copies or electronically, requires the consent of the author(s), editor(s). If cited or quoted, reference should be made to the full name of the author(s), editor(s), the title, the research project, the year and the publisher.

ACCEPT PLURALISM Research Project,
Tolerance, Pluralism and Social Cohesion: Responding to the Challenges of the 21st Century in Europe
European Commission, DG Research
Seventh Framework Programme Social Sciences and Humanities
Grant agreement no. 243837
www.accept-pluralism.eu
www.eui.eu/RSCAS
email: anna.triandafyllidou@eui.eu

Available from the EUI institutional repository CADMUS
http://cadmus.eui.eu
Published by the European University Institute
Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies
Via dei Roccettini, 9
50014 San Domenico di Fiesole - Italy

Disclaimer: The information and views set out in this publication are those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the European Commission. Neither the European Commission nor any person acting on behalf of the European Commission is responsible for the use which might be made of the following information. A great deal of additional information on the European Union is available on the Internet. It can be accessed through the Europa server (http://europa.eu).
ANNEX TO THE CLUSTER REPORT:
ASSESSING MINORITY MOBILIZATION AND REPRESENTATION

Indicators presented:

Indicator 6.5 Minority mobilisation and claims-making
Indicator 6.6 Representation of minority politicians in parliament

Countries covered and teams responsible for the country assessments:

**Bulgaria:** Marko Hajdinjak, IMIR

**France:** Angéline Escafré-Dublet, CERI Sciences Po

**Ireland:** Nathalie Rougier, University College Dublin

**United Kingdom:** Jan Dobbernack, University of Bristol
## Detailed Comparative Country Overview of Indicator 6.5 Minority mobilisation and claims-making

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.5 Minority mobilisation and claims-making</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Bulgaria | LOW  | Time period for assessment: 1990-2012  
Level of assessment: national.  

Bulgarian Constitution (Art. 11 (4)): “There shall be no political parties on ethnic, racial or religious lines, nor parties which seek the violent seizure of state power.” The 1990 Political Parties Act confirmed this restriction (Art. 2): “A political party may not be established when it is based on a confessional or an ethnic principle.” However, the current Law on Political parties, passed in 2005, contains no such article.  
Constitutional prohibition of ethnic parties is on the one hand undemocratic and discriminatory, as it potentially denies a large number of the Bulgarian citizens a proper political representation. On the other hand, it forces political parties to work beyond the confines of a particular ethnic community.  
In practice, minorities (especially Turks and Pomaks, and to a lesser extent Roma) are active participants in politics and can freely put forward their political claims and grievances, as long as their political associations remain open to people from other ethnic and religious communities. The main (but not the only) political party representing minority interests is the Movement for Rights and Freedoms – always represented in Parliament since 1990 and three times member of a governing coalition.  
Note: It is exceptionally difficult to give one uniform score to this indicator. In addition to conflicting evaluations of legislative framework and practical situation, we need to note and assess also the perceptions of the society regarding the minority claims-making. While seen as fully legitimate for the larger part of the assessed period, in the recent years the attitudes towards political mobilisation of minorities are becoming increasingly intolerant and therefore should be scored as Medium.  
| France | LOW  | Time period of assessment: 1989-2012  
Level of assessment: national.  

Minority claim-making is generally considered illegitimate. Articulating ethnic and religious demands is regarded as opposing the civic understanding of French citizenship that guarantees a vertical relationship between the state and the citizen. It is considered as stemming from Article 1 of the 1958 French constitution that reads: “the Republic shall ensure the equality of all citizens before the law regardless of their origin, race or religion”. Any political claim articulated in ethnic or religious terms is considered favouring the pursuit of particular interests over general interest.  
Minority mobilizations are equally disqualified, although fieldwork demonstrated that minority activists have learned to articulate their claims in Republican terms. Minority mobilization is therefore not completely absent.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.5 Minority mobilisation and claims-making</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>MEDIUM to HIGH</td>
<td>from French political life but minority identities have no formal recognition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sources: Escafré-Dublet, Kastoryano 2012.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>Time period of assessment: 2005 - 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Level of assessment: national</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Minority groups are free to take part in political life and to mobilise/associate on the basis of the political identities they choose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>There are however no immigrant political parties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note: The claim of Travellers to official ethnic group status is not accepted by government, though supported by civil society groups and human rights agencies (the Equality Authority &amp; Amnesty International). In February 2012, the Justice Minister stated that ‘consideration is still ongoing and I intend that the question will be before the Government for decision as soon as possible’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sources: Honohan, Rougier (2010); Honohan, Rougier (2012); Dáil Éireann Debates (2012); ICI (2009).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Score</td>
<td>Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.5 Minority mobilisation and claims-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>channels, however, has been more problematic and only recently have all three main parties started to compete, at least at certain times, for 'minority votes' (this includes the Conservative Party under David Cameron).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fieldwork demonstrates that, although significant opportunities are available for political mobilizations around minority identities, mobilizing actors often feel it necessary to make special efforts to justify and defend their political agency. In the mainstream, the accusation of ‘identity politics’ and ‘sectarianism’ has some purchase and is strongly levelled in particular against the political presence of Muslims. Their experience is indicative of a situation not of intolerance; rather, it exemplifies immaterial and symbolic pressures that actors face whose ‘special status’ is widely accepted. There is evidence, however, that such pressures do not stifle but give rise to creative forms of political agency that attempt to defeat the experience of stigmatization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>We assign the score ‘high’ due to the overall vibrancy of minority political claims-making in Britain and the increasing responsiveness of mainstream parties to the political presence of a minority electorate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sources: Dobbernack, Meer, Modood 2012.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Detailed Comparative Country Overview of Indicator 6.6 Representation of minority politicians in parliament

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.6 Representation of minority politicians in parliament</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Bulgaria | HIGH for Turks, LOW for other minorities | Time period for assessment: 2009-2012  
Level of assessment: national.  
Politicians from the Turkish minority are fully represented in parliament (28 out of 240 MPs, or 11.7%, compared to 8.8% of their share of the Bulgarian population). All other minorities are severely underrepresented.  
There are no quotas or reserved seats for minorities and their parliamentary representation is based solely on the election result.  
Note: It is exceptionally difficult to give one uniform score to this indicator. In addition to conflicting evaluations of legislative framework and practical situation, we need to note and assess also the perceptions of the society regarding the minority claims-making. While seen as fully legitimate for the larger part of the assessed period, in the recent years the attitudes towards political mobilisation of minorities are becoming increasingly intolerant and can be scored as LOW.  
| France | LOW | Time period of assessment: 2012  
Level of assessment: national  
Only 1.8% of the representatives in the National Assembly have an immigrant background. This is less than one third of the overall proportion of French people with an immigrant background (12%).  
Sources: Escafré-Dublet, Kastoryano 2012; Website of the French National Assembly. |
| Ireland | MEDIUM | Time period of assessment: 1990-2012  
Level of assessment: National  
The basis for this assessment is the constitutional and legal framework and current membership of the Oireachtas (Dáil/Lower House and Seanad/Upper House). |
### Motivations for score regarding Indicator 6.6 Representation of minority politicians in parliament

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Time of assessment</th>
<th>Level of assessment</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>2005 – 2012</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>The House of Commons (the UK’s lower house of parliament) has 27 members with ethnic minority backgrounds (representing 4 percent of the total) while the House of Lords has 48 members (5 percent). At local government level, roughly 3-4 percent of councilors in the UK have an ethnic minority background. In the Commons, the current figures represent a sizeable increase from 6 in 1992, 12 in 1997, 15 in 2005 to the current level. The recent increase is in particular the result of sustained effort on the part of the Conservative Party to select non-white candidates in winnable constituencies. Yet there remains some controversy about the orientation of the Conservatives towards the minority electorate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sources: Honohan &amp; Rougier (2010); Honohan &amp; Rougier (2012); Oireachtas website; Fanning et al (2009).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is of course disputed whether an increased non-white presence in parliament leads to a greater concern for policy issues that particularly affect the non-white electorate.

Despite an upward trajectory, public institutions in Britain are generally only beginning to catch up with the increasing diversity of the country (as shown in the 2011 Census).

Sources: Cracknell 2012; Dobbernack, Meer, Modood 2012; Official for National Statistics 2012.
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