

# Table of contents

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>List of abbreviations.....</b>  | <b>XIX</b> |
| <b>PART I COPYRIGHT AND DIGITISATION .....</b>   | <b>1</b>   |
| <b>1. Introduction .....</b>   | <b>3</b>   |
| 1.1. The research question .....   | 3          |
| 1.2. Terminology .....   | 4          |
| 1.3. The value of transformative and non-transformative uses in the<br>copyright system .....        | 6          |
| 1.4. New kinds of transformative use .....   | 9          |
| 1.5. Non-transformative use.....   | 10         |
| 1.6. The structure of the book .....   | 12         |
| <b>2. The impact of digitisation on the desirability of copyright exceptions .....</b>               | <b>15</b>  |
| 2.1. An economics-based introduction to the book problem.....  | 15         |
| 2.1.1. Works of intellect as public goods .....  | 15         |
| 2.1.2. From imperfect to perfect excludability .....   | 17         |
| 2.1.3. Perfect lock-up of copyrighted information .....  | 20         |
| 2.2. Legal consequences .....  | 22         |
| 2.2.1. In search of a public policy without free access to copyrighted<br>works.....                 | 23         |
| 2.2.2. DRM and the economics of copyright exceptions .....   | 27         |
| 2.2.2.1. Transaction costs and the market failure behind private<br>copying.....                     | 27         |
| 2.2.2.2. Why the market failure rationale behind private copying<br>is losing its significance ..... | 28         |
| 2.2.2.3. The persistence of “bargaining breakdown” problems .....                                    | 30         |
| 2.2.2.4. Which justifications are affected by the implementation<br>of DRM? .....                    | 33         |
| 2.2.3. Privacy concerns .....  | 34         |
| 2.3. The legal framework: copyright exceptions under EU law.....                                     | 36         |
| 2.4. Summary .....   | 38         |

---

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>PART II EU DIGITAL COPYRIGHT LAW FROM THE END-USER PERSPECTIVE.....</b>                | <b>41</b> |
| <b>3. Copyright harmonisation according to technological dictates .....</b>               | <b>43</b> |
| 3.1. Introduction .....   | 43        |
| 3.2. Legal basis and objectives of copyright harmonisation in the EU .....                | 43        |
| 3.2.1. The progressive development of a Community copyright policy ...                    | 44        |
| 3.2.1.1. Free movement of goods and the exhaustion principle.....                         | 44        |
| 3.2.1.2. National copyright protection under Article 30 of the EC Treaty.....             | 45        |
| 3.2.1.3. Copyright legislation as a tool fostering market integration .....               | 46        |
| 3.2.2. Legal basis and specific objectives of the InfoSoc Directive.....                  | 49        |
| 3.2.2.1. The legal basis of Article 95 of the EC Treaty.....                              | 49        |
| 3.2.2.2. The objectives of the 1995 Green Paper .....                                     | 50        |
| 3.2.2.3. The objective to implement the 1996 WIPO Copyright Treaty.....                   | 51        |
| 3.3. The re-definition of the copyright scope.....  | 52        |
| 3.3.1. The national dimension of copyright's subject matter .....                         | 52        |
| 3.3.1.1. The absence of a single standard of originality.....                             | 53        |
| 3.3.2. Territorial restrictions in copyright management.....                              | 54        |
| 3.3.3. The overstretched exclusive right of digital reproduction .....                    | 58        |
| 3.3.3.1. The notion of reproduction under the EC Software Directive .....                 | 58        |
| 3.3.3.2. Exemption of certain software reproductions from copyright protection .....      | 60        |
| 3.3.3.3. The exclusive right of reproduction under the InfoSoc Directive .....            | 61        |
| 3.3.4. The exclusive right of communication to the public.....                            | 65        |
| 3.3.5. The right of distribution of tangible copyrighted goods .....                      | 66        |
| 3.3.6. Intangible copyrighted goods as services.....                                      | 67        |
| 3.4. Anti-circumvention law in defence of technological protection measures .....         | 69        |
| 3.4.1. The provisions of Articles 6 and 7 of the InfoSoc Directive .....                  | 69        |
| 3.4.2. What "access right" in the EU?.....  | 71        |
| 3.4.3. Discrepancies in the national implementation of anti-circumvention law .....       | 74        |
| 3.5. Summary.....   | 76        |
| <b>4. The legal treatment of copyright exceptions under secondary EU law .....</b>        | <b>77</b> |
| 4.1. Introduction: from vertical to horizontal exceptions.....                            | 77        |
| 4.2. The introduction of the three-step test under EU law .....                           | 80        |
| 4.2.1. The three-step test under international law .....                                  | 80        |
| 4.2.2. The transposition of the test into EU law.....                                     | 84        |
| 4.3. The new requirement of <i>legal access</i> at the expense of <i>lawful use</i> ..... | 86        |
| 4.4. The InfoSoc Directive's exhaustive list of copyright exceptions .....                | 89        |

---

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| 4.4.1. Non-transformative use: private copying .....   | 89         |
| 4.4.2. Transformative uses .....   | 93         |
| 4.5. Safety nets for copyright exceptions against DRM systems .....  | 94         |
| 4.5.1. Obligations created by Article 6(4).....  | 95         |
| 4.5.2. The narrow scope of Member States' obligations.....   | 96         |
| 4.5.3. Consequences of the Article 6(4) system.....  | 98         |
| 4.5.4. The model interface between copyright, contract and technical<br>measures of the Software Directive ..... | 100        |
| 4.5.5. Monitoring systems on the effective enforcement of exceptions..   | 102        |
| 4.6. National exceptions after the InfoSoc Directive: a brief overview .....                                     | 104        |
| 4.6.1. Preliminary remarks.....  | 104        |
| 4.6.2. What national exceptions, in the concrete? .....  | 105        |
| 4.6.2.1. Private copying .....   | 105        |
| 4.6.2.2. Transformative use.....   | 107        |
| 4.6.2.3. A few examples of extension of the statutory protection<br>of copyright exceptions.....                 | 108        |
| 4.7. Summary.....  | 109        |
| <b>5. Digital copyright law: general policy issues under the EC Treaty .....</b>                                 | <b>111</b> |
| 5.1. Introduction .....  | 111        |
| 5.2. The failure of the InfoSoc Directive in the pursuit of market<br>integration .....                          | 113        |
| 5.2.1. Fragmentation on markets for tangible and intangible goods .....  | 114        |
| 5.2.2. Inconsistency with Article 95 of the EC Treaty .....  | 115        |
| 5.3. The clash with Member States' cultural policies .....   | 118        |
| 5.3.1. The deadlock of national copyright exceptions.....  | 119        |
| 5.3.2. Article 151(4) of the EC Treaty and national copyright<br>exceptions .....                                | 119        |
| 5.4. End-use licences and EU consumer contract law: a neglected<br>intersection.....                             | 120        |
| 5.4.1. The absence of consumer protection measures under digital<br>copyright law .....                          | 121        |
| 5.4.2. Consumer protection under EU private international law .....  | 121        |
| 5.4.3. Consumer contract law.....  | 123        |
| 5.4.3.1. A brief comparison between U.S. and EU contract laws .  | 123        |
| 5.4.3.2. Duties of transparency in the EU Distance Contract<br>Directive.....                                    | 126        |
| 5.4.3.3. Overriding copyright exceptions as an unfair<br>commercial practice? .....                              | 129        |
| 5.4.3.4. The end-user's information right against technical<br>restrictions.....                                 | 130        |
| 5.4.3.5. Conclusive remarks on consumer protection .....   | 132        |
| 5.5. Summary.....  | 133        |

---

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>PART III UNSETTLED ISSUES.....</b>   | <b>135</b> |
| <b>6. Implications of sharing copyrighted works on the Internet .....</b>   | <b>137</b> |
| 6.1. Introduction .....   | 137        |
| 6.2. End-user liability: the United States .....  | 138        |
| 6.2.1. The birth of file-sharing technologies .....   | 138        |
| 6.2.2. File-sharing under the U.S. fair use doctrine .....  | 139        |
| 6.2.2.1. Purpose and character of file-sharing.....   | 140        |
| 6.2.2.2. Nature and portion of the work used.....   | 142        |
| 6.2.2.3. Effect of file-sharing on the market for copyrighted<br>works.....   | 142        |
| 6.3. End-user liability: the European Union .....   | 143        |
| 6.3.1. Peer-to-peer downloads under the exception of private copying ..   | 143        |
| 6.3.2. Peer-to-peer networks as a lawful source of unauthorised<br>copyrighted works? .....                                     | 147        |
| 6.3.3. Unauthorised downloads under the three-step test.....  | 149        |
| 6.4. Peer-to-peer software providers' liability: the United States .....  | 152        |
| 6.4.1. The U.S. case law.....   | 153        |
| 6.4.1.1. The Napster case .....   | 154        |
| 6.4.1.2. The Aimster case .....   | 155        |
| 6.4.1.3. The Grokster case .....  | 156        |
| 6.4.2. How consideration of non-infringing uses has an impact on<br>indirect liability.....                                     | 158        |
| 6.4.3. Findings from the U.S. case law .....  | 162        |
| 6.5. Peer-to-peer software providers' liability: the European Union .....   | 162        |
| 6.5.1. Copyright liability in the <i>Kazaa</i> and <i>BitTorrent</i> cases .....  | 163        |
| 6.5.1.1. Direct liability .....   | 164        |
| 6.5.1.2. Indirect liability.....  | 164        |
| 6.5.2. Possible technology's restrictions under Article 8 of the EU<br>Intellectual Property Rights Enforcement Directive ..... | 166        |
| 6.5.3. Indirect liability under the principle of due care .....   | 168        |
| 6.5.3.1. Duties of care on the Internet after the E-Commerce<br>Directive .....   | 168        |
| 6.5.3.2. Duties of care upon ISPs and network operators .....   | 169        |
| 6.5.3.3. Duties of care upon peer-to-peer software providers .....  | 172        |
| 6.5.4. Findings from EU law and from the national case law .....  | 174        |
| 6.6. Summary.....   | 175        |
| <b>7. Freedom of use vs. DRM Technology .....</b>   | <b>179</b> |
| 7.1. Introduction .....   | 179        |
| 7.2. DRM technology under EU law .....  | 180        |
| 7.2.1. Legal basis for the protection of DRM technology .....   | 180        |
| 7.2.2. From an <i>ex post</i> to an <i>ex ante</i> determination of fairness .....  | 181        |
| 7.2.3. DRM and the EU ambiguous system of copyright exceptions.....   | 182        |
| 7.2.4. Consequences of the condition of <i>legal access</i> to<br>DRM-protected works .....                                     | 183        |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| 7.3. The European Commission's view of DRM technology .....  | 186        |
| 7.3.1. The 2004 Communication on copyright management .....  | 186        |
| 7.3.2. The main Commission's objectives .....  | 187        |
| 7.4. Specific issues raised by DRM technology: Interoperability .....  | 189        |
| 7.4.1. DRM systems as copyright-protected computer programmes .....  | 190        |
| 7.4.2. Copyright vs. interoperability: the case of Apple's DRM system .....                                    | 190        |
| 7.4.3. The example of new pro-interoperability legislation in France.....                                      | 193        |
| 7.4.4. Copyright protection and EU interoperability policy .....   | 196        |
| 7.5. Restriction of private copying.....   | 200        |
| 7.5.1. The policy option of preserving private copying<br>notwithstanding DRM.....                             | 200        |
| 7.5.2. The <i>Mulholland Drive</i> case in France: does a <i>right</i> of private<br>copying exist? .....      | 201        |
| 7.5.3. May private copying of copy-protected works be compatible<br>with the three-step test? .....            | 205        |
| 7.5.4. The role of national law in the preservation of digital private<br>copying .....                        | 210        |
| 7.6. Restriction of transformative uses.....   | 215        |
| 7.6.1. May DRM technology address cumulative creativity and<br>innovation?.....                                | 215        |
| 7.6.2. Policy options for the accommodation of cumulative creativity ...                                       | 219        |
| 7.6.2.1. Creating an exception of fair circumvention.....  | 220        |
| 7.6.2.2. Creating a user rights management infrastructure.....   | 224        |
| 7.7. Summary.....  | 227        |
| <b>PART IV .....</b>   | <b>231</b> |
| <b>8. Copyright policy alternatives for preserving end-user freedom of<br/>expression and information.....</b> | <b>233</b> |
| 8.1. Addressing the conflict between copyright and freedom of expression..                                     | 233        |
| 8.1.1. The legal value of Article 10 of the ECHR.....  | 233        |
| 8.1.2. Copyright vs. free expression .....   | 235        |
| 8.2. Restrictions on freedom of communication over peer-to-peer<br>networks.....                               | 239        |
| 8.2.1. Peer-to-peer networking and free communication .....  | 239        |
| 8.2.2. How copyleft licences increase the magnitude of <i>free</i><br>information.....                         | 241        |
| 8.3. EU copyright enforcement policy in respect of file-sharing .....  | 245        |
| 8.3.1. Targeting copyright enforcement at individuals.....   | 246        |
| 8.3.1.1. The United States .....   | 246        |
| 8.3.1.2. The European Union .....  | 248        |
| 8.3.1.3. Why this option would be cost-ineffective and<br>short-sighted.....                                   | 251        |
| 8.3.2. Copyright levies to compensate creators.....  | 252        |
| 8.3.2.1. The 'Fisher-Netanel' proposal of a non-commercial<br>use levy system .....                            | 253        |

---

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| 8.3.2.2. Costs and benefits of a copyright levy system .....   | 256        |
| 8.3.2.3. Why this policy option does not fit EU digital<br>copyright law .....   | 258        |
| 8.3.3. Mandatory collective administration of online exclusive rights ...  | 260        |
| 8.3.3.1. Is mandatory collective management a legitimate<br>policy option? .....   | 261        |
| 8.3.3.2. Mandatory collective management, international law<br>and the <i>acquis communautaire</i> on copyright<br>entitlements..... | 263        |
| 8.3.4. Seeking complementarity between file-sharing and copyright-<br>protecting technologies .....                                  | 268        |
| 8.3.4.1. Mandating the adoption of copyright-protecting<br>technologies .....  | 269        |
| 8.3.4.1.1. Re-design of peer-to-peer technology .....  | 269        |
| 8.3.4.1.2. Adoption of filtering technologies.....   | 271        |
| 8.3.4.1.3. On the suitable function of DRM systems<br>and fingerprints.....  | 272        |
| 8.3.4.2. Advantages of this policy option .....  | 274        |
| 8.4. The adverse impact of current DRM technology on free uses.....  | 276        |
| 8.4.1. Collision with the principle of freedom of expression.....  | 277        |
| 8.4.2. Chilling effects on follow-on uses: a user rights management<br>infrastructure as a possible way out .....                    | 278        |
| 8.5. Summary.....  | 282        |
| <b>9. Conclusion: towards a better EU copyright law.....</b>   | <b>285</b> |
| 9.1. Introduction .....  | 285        |
| 9.2. Creating a user rights infrastructure.....  | 285        |
| 9.2.1. Legal protection of technical measures should follow<br>copyright law .....   | 286        |
| 9.2.2. Replacing copyright exceptions by a law of users' rights .....  | 287        |
| 9.3. Elements of a user rights management infrastructure .....   | 292        |
| 9.3.1. Implementation of the 'fair use by design' requirement .....  | 292        |
| 9.3.2. Implementation of a mixed user rights infrastructure .....  | 293        |
| 9.3.3. A single EU interoperability policy for DRM technology .....  | 297        |
| 9.4. Re-adjusting the three-step test.....   | 302        |
| 9.4.1. The flawed function of Article 5(5) under the InfoSoc Directive ..  | 303        |
| 9.4.2. Suitable revision of the function of the test inside the InfoSoc<br>Directive .....   | 305        |
| 9.5. Summary.....  | 307        |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>APPENDIX .....</b>   | <b>311</b> |
| <b>Appendix I - Digital Rights Management: The Technological Scenario .....</b>   | <b>313</b> |
| <b>Appendix II - Examples of National Transposition of Article 6(4) of the InfoSoc Directive .....</b>  | <b>325</b> |
| <b>Appendix III - Directive 2001/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2001 on the harmonisation of certain aspects of copyright and related rights in the information society (Official Journal L 167, 22.06.2001, p.10) .....</b> | <b>333</b> |
| <b>Reference List .....</b>   | <b>349</b> |
| <b>Index .....</b>  | <b>367</b> |



<http://www.springer.com/978-3-540-75984-3>

EU Digital Copyright Law and the End-User

Mazziotti, G.

2008, XX, 374 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-540-75984-3